

Integrated Watershed Management Programme

Preliminary Project Report (PPR)

Kozhikkode

**Department of Land Resources,
Ministry of Rural Development,
Government of India**

I. Institutional Structures.

I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies

I. B. District Level Watershed Units

I. Selection of Watershed Projects

II. Profile of the each selected watershed project

III. Agro-climatic condition of project area.

IV. Demography & land distribution

V. Livelihoods

VI. Expected project out comes

VII. Mandatory certificates

VIII. Status of on-going projects

IX. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

I. Institutional Structures

I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	State	Type of SLNA [#]	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
1	Kerala	Mission	14-Jun-10		Twenty Five

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

7		8					
Chairperson and Co Chairperson		CEO					
Name	Designation [#]	Name	Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment ^{\$}	Tenure	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E-mail
L C Goyal	Agriculture Production commissioner		Additional Director of Agriculture (SC Unit)	14-Jun-10			
S M Vijayanand	Principal Secretary, LSGD						

Table-PPR 2: Details of functionaries in the SLNAs*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
No	Total no. of persons working in the SLNA for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budget of SLNA (Rs.)		Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.)	
							R	NR	R	NR
1										

Table-PPR 3: Details of State Level Data Cell (SLDC) functionalities*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
No	Total no. of persons working in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budget of SLDC (Rs.)		Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.)	
							R	NR	R	NR
1	N i n e	Technical expert, (Agriculture/ Agriculture Engineering)	PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2		Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro enterprises /livelihood)	B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3		Administrative Officer	PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4		Finance cum Accounts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5		Accounts assistants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert	B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry operator	Graduate+Certificate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer	Diploma/Certificate in related fields	5 years		25000				

I. B. District Level Watershed Units:

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram	Respective District Panchayaths	President, respective District panchayats		Three each in all Districts, 52 persons in the State
2	Kollam				
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha				
5	Kottayam				
6	Idukki				
7	Eranakulam				
8	Thrissur				
9	Palakkad				
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayanadu				
13	Kannur				
14	Kasargode				

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell - cont.

No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	12		13	
						Total budget of Watershed Cell (Rs.)		Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.)	
						R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
	52 persons				595000				

II. SELECTION OF WATERSHED PROJECTS

*Table-PPR 5 : Status of District-wise area covered under the watershed programme *
(MIS Table-M(SP)2)*

1	2	3		4						5	
				Micro-watersheds covered so far							
				Dept. of Land Resources		Other Ministries/ Depts.		Total watersheds covered			
				Pre-IWMP projects (DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		Any other watershed project					
No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area h	No.	Area (ha.)		
1	Kozhikkode	327	231667	1	288	62	55807	63	56095	187	119035
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	1459817

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2010-11

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8													
No	District	Name of the project	No. of micro-watersheds proposed to be covered	Proposed project area (ha)	Type of project	Proposed cost (Rs. in lakh)	Weightage under the criteria#													
					(Hilly/ Desert/ Others)		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Kozhikode	IWMP1	11	5712	Hilly	856.80	55	33	0	110	30	0	0	83	25	0	100	0	165	601
		1	11	5712		856.80														

Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

No	Criteria	score	Ranges & scores			
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
v	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/	15	-66.7 & below (15)	-33.3 to -66.6 (10)	0 to -33.2 (0)	
	DPAP/ DDP Block		DDP Block	DPAP Block	Non DPAP/ DDP Block	Above 70 % (Reject)
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Fully covered (0)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less than 10% of TGA(5)	
x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts(5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro watersheds in cluster (5)	
	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 micro watersheds in cluster (5)	

III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table –PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State	Kerala		
2	Name & type [#] (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP1	0	Hilly
3	Name of the District	Kozhikkode		
4	Names of the Blocks	Tuneri		
		Kunnummal		
5	Names of Gram Panchayats	Chekyad		
		Valayam		
		Vanimel		
		Nadapuram		
		Tuneri		
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Vanimel	00024700	
		Valayam	00024600	
		Nadapuram	00025400	
		Chekyad	00024500	
		Vilangad	00024800	
		Thooneri	00024400	
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Jaathiyeri	29M11a	
		Mangavilthodu	29M12a	
		Pudukkayam- Mannothondu	29M13a	
		Chelathodu	29M14a	
		Vishnumangalam	29M25a	
		Aroonda II	29M9c	
		Kaalikolumbu	29M9d	
		Koodalayi-Punja	29M9e	
		Kundilavalappil	29M9g	
		Payyerikavu	29M9i	
		Kayalottuthazhe	29M9j	

8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Dilapidated traditional irrigation systems
		Low productivity of land
		Strong presence of SC/ST, BPL families and marginal farmers
		Poor adaptation to climate change
9	Area of the Project (ha.)	5712
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)	5712
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)	856.80
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Tuneri Block Panchayat

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project*

(Area in ha)

1 No	2 Name of watersheds	3 Names of villages	4 Geographical Area of the watershed	5 Forest Area	6 Land under agricultural use	7 Rain fed area	8 Permanent pastures	9 Wasteland	
								Cultivable	Non-cultivable
1	Jaathiyeri	Vanimel	670	0	666	670	0	4	0
2	Mangavilthodu	Valayam, Vanimel	1070	0	1070	1070	0	0	0
3	Pudukkayam-	Vanimel, Vilangad	545	0	541	545	0	4	0
4	Chelathodu	Vanimel, Vilangad	433	61	372	433	0	0	0
5	Vishnumangalam	Nadapuram, Thooneri	451	0	450	451	0	1	0
6	Aroonda II	Valayam, Vanimel	266	0	266	266	0	0	0
7	Kaalikolumbu	Chekyad	695	0	695	695	0	0	0
8	Koodalayi-Punja	Valayam.vanimel	363	0	363	363	0	0	0
9	Kundilavalappil	Vanimel, Vilangad, Valayam	360	86	274	360	0	0	0
10	Payyerikavu	Valayam, Chekyad	238	0	238	238	0	0	0
11	Kayalottuthazhe	Chekyad	621	0	621	621	0	0	0
	Total		5712	147	5712	147	5712	147	5712

IV. AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION

Details about soil types, land uses etc. are given as additional tables

Table – PPR 10: Details of Agro-climatic condition*

1	2	3	5	7	8
No	Name of the watershed	Name of the Agro-climatic zone covers project area	Names of the villages	Topography#	Average rainfall in mm
1	Jaathiyeri	Northern mid-land	Vanimel	Undulating	3696 mm
2	Mangavilthodu	Northern mid-land	Valayam, Vanimel	Moderate	
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothodu	Northern mid-land	Vanimel, Vilangad	Undulating	
4	Chelathodu	Northern mid-land	Vanimel, Vilangad	Steep slope	
5	Vishnumangalam	Northern mid-land	Nadapuram, Thooneri	Moderate	
6	Aroonda II	Northern mid-land	Valayam, Vanimel	Undulating	
7	Kaalikolumbu	Northern mid-land	Chekyad	Steep slope	
8	Koodalayi-Punja	Northern mid-land	Valayam.vanimel	Undulating	
9	Kundilavalappil	Northern mid-land	Vanimel, Vilangad, Valayam	Steep slope	
10	Payyerikavu	Northern mid-land	Valayam, Chekyad	Undulating	
11	Kayalottuthazhe	Northern mid-land	Chekyad	Moderate	

Table – PPR 10 a: Details of soil types and major crops

1	2	6					9				
No	Name of the watershed	Major soil types					Major crops				
		Brown hydromorphic soil	Lateritic soil	Riverine alluvium	Coastal alluvium	Total	Coconut	Paddy	Mixed	Rubber	Total
1	Jaathiyeri	0	58	612	0	670	0	0	0	0	0
2	Mangavilthodu	0	210	860	0	1070	0	0	0	0	0
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothondu	0	51	494	0	545	0	0	0	0	0
4	Chelathodu	0	433	0	0	433	0	0	0	0	0
5	Vishnumangalam	0	0	451	0	451	0	0	0	0	0
6	Aroonda II	44	222	0	0	266	0	0	0	0	0
7	Kaalikolumbu	0	695	0	0	695	0	0	0	0	0
8	Koodalayi-Punja	0	363	0	0	363	0	0	0	0	0
9	Kundilavalappil	0	360	0	0	360	0	0	0	0	0
10	Payyerikavu	0	238	0	0	238	0	0	0	0	0
11	Kayalottuthazhe	50	460	110	0	621	0	0	0	0	0

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area*

1	2	3	4		5
No	Particulars	Villages	Periodicity		Not affected
			Annual	Any other - once in 4 years	
1	Flood	No. of villages	0	6	0
		Name(s) of villages	0	Chekyad, Nadapuram, Thooneri, Valayam, Vanimel, Vilangad	
2	Drought	No. of villages	0	6	0
		Name(s) of villages	0	Chekyad, Nadapuram, Thooneri, Valayam, Vanimel, Vilangad	
Total			0	6	0

Table-PPR 12 : Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tons/ ha/ year)
Water erosion				
a	Severe	2594	NA	NA
b	Moderate	3118	NA	NA
c	Slight	0	NA	NA
Sub-Total		5712	NA	NA
Wind erosion		0	NA	NA
Total		5712	NA	NA

V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Details about population is given as table

Key features of population in Table

No	Watershed Name	Area (In Ha)	Total Families	Population			BPL Families	Land holding/Family (In Ha)
				Total	SC	ST		
				5712	8820	45555		
1	Jaathiyeri	670	1082	5595	85	60	284	0.62
2	Mangavilthodu	1070	1452	7189	93	148	479	0.74
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothodu	545	585	2995	26	131	155	0.93
4	Chelathodu	433	463	2379	20	108	120	0.93
5	Vishnumangalam	451	1516	8071	132	1	327	0.30
6	Aroonda II	266	427	2272	36	21	94	0.62
7	Kaalikolumbu	695	997	5215	73	91	241	0.70
8	Koodalayi-Punja	363	536	2629	38	35	186	0.68
9	Kundilavalappil	360	385	1977	16	90	100	0.94
10	Payyerikavu	238	365	1858	28	21	106	0.65
11	Kayalottuthazhe	621	1011	5373	85	47	223	0.61

VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaptation to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives.

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood interventions under the project	Current status of migration (No. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	Jaathiyeri	Employment in construction sector, wage labour in semi skilled and unskilled activities, trading etc. are the major livelihood of the poor people now. Middle and upper class are employed in service sector, government and large private enterprises. Agriculture is not the soul income anymore. Agricultural labour is part of the employment of the poor. For the poor families another major chunk is the income	Animal husbandry with strong forward and backward linkages and supporting infrastructure and initiatives at the homestead is the main possibility. High yield cows which can be milked in tandem, scientifically constructed cowshed and biogas tank, grass cultivation, training to the concerned, ensuring the availability of milking machines, soft finance, hand holding for the first few years, providing functional	56	Lack of job opportunities in the agriculture sector due to low productivity and poor income from land. This is inducing the farmer to fallow the land and search for better alternatives. As more and more people move to the urban areas seeking employment, whatever rural economic activities remain gets weakened and faces a
2	Mangavilthodu			72	
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothodu			30	
4	Chelathodu			24	
5	Vishnumangalam			81	
6	Aroonda II			23	
7	Kaalikolumbu			52	
8	Koodalayi-Punja			26	
9	Kundilavalappil			20	
10	Payyerikavu			19	
11	Kayalottuthazhe			54	
	11			23	

VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

1 No.	2 Names of the watersheds	3										4				
		Wage employment										Self employment				
		No. of man-days in '00 s					No. of beneficiaries					No. of beneficiaries				
		SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
1	Jaathiyeri	8	6	1113	699	1827	8	6	1113	699	1827	5	4	278	560	847
2	Mangavilthodu	9	15	1428	899	2351	9	15	1428	899	2351	6	9	357	719	1090
3	Pudukkayam-	3	13	593	374	983	3	13	593	374	983	2	8	148	300	457
4	Chelathodu	2	11	471	297	781	2	11	471	297	781	1	6	118	238	363
5	Vishnumangalam	13	0	1609	1009	2631	13	0	1609	1009	2631	8	0	402	807	1217
6	Aroonda II	4	2	452	284	742	4	2	452	284	742	2	1	113	227	344
7	Kaalikolumbu	7	9	1037	652	1705	7	9	1037	652	1705	4	5	259	522	790
8	Koodalayi-Punja	4	4	523	329	859	4	4	523	329	859	2	2	131	263	398
9	Kundilavalappil	2	9	391	247	649	2	9	391	247	649	1	5	98	198	302
10	Payyerikavu	3	2	370	232	607	3	2	370	232	607	2	1	92	186	281
11	Kayalottuthazhe	8	5	1069	672	1754	8	5	1069	672	1754	5	3	267	537	813
	11	63	75	9056	5694	14888	63	75	9056	5694	14888	38	45	2264	4555	6902

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reason(s) for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	Jaathiyeri	56	5595	Lack of job opportunities in the agriculture and allied sectors. Low productivity and poor income from land. Rural economic activities getting weakened. Weak infrastructure and support services for	45
2	Mangavilthodu	72	4241		65
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothodu	30	3684		18
4	Chelathodu	24	571		18
5	Vishnumangalam	81	8071		69
6	Aroonda II	23	1341		18
7	Kaalikolumbu	52	6415		47
8	Koodalayi-Punja	26	631		16
9	Kundilavalappil	20	1977		15
10	Payyerikavu	19	1096		16
11	Kayalottuthazhe	54	6609		43
	11	23	2012		368

VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas

(in meters)

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post-project level	Remarks
1	Jaathiyeri	Open wells	8	6	This increase will substantially improve the drinking water availability, reduce the drudgery for fetching water and increase the irrigated areas. But substantial steps to improve water and irrigation efficiency through the application of modern and traditional technologies is essential. There must be simultaneous initiatives to reduce the contamination of surface water and ground water from the residues of pesticides and fertilizers, household waste and garbage, poor sanitation facilities, waste from fish/meat stalls, vegetable
		Bore wells	90	72	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	
2	Mangavilthodu	Open wells	9	6	
		Bore wells	105	84	
		Others - Ponds	7	6	
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothondu	Open wells	7	5	
		Bore wells	95	76	
		Others - Ponds	5	5	
4	Chelathodu	Open wells	6	4	
		Bore wells	85	68	
		Others - Ponds	4	4	
5	Vishnumangalam	Open wells	7	5	
		Bore wells	100	80	
		Others - Ponds	5	5	
6	Aroonda II	Open wells	8	6	
		Bore wells	115	92	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	
7	Kaalikolumbu	Open wells	9	6	
		Bore wells	130	104	
		Others - Ponds	7	6	
8	Koodalayi-Punja	Open wells	7	5	
		Bore wells	120	96	
		Others - Ponds	5	5	
9	Kundilavalappil	Open wells	5	4	
		Bore wells	110	88	

		Others - Ponds	3	3	markets and small teashops in the watershed area. Convergence efforts should be launched with other Govt departments and NGO s to achieve
10	Payyerikavu	Open wells	6	4	
		Bore wells	125	100	
		Others - Ponds	4	4	
11	Kayalottuthazhe	Open wells	7	5	
		Bore wells	140	112	
		Others - Ponds	5	5	

Source of data:

Panchayat development report and resource map report

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

1	2	3		4		5
No	Names of the watersheds	Availability of drinking water (no. of months in a year)		Quality of drinking water		Comments
		Pre project	Expected post project	Pre project	Expected post project	
1	Jaathiyeri	9	10	Turbulence, hardness, high iron and salinity are the major issues observed. High presence of e coli is observed in almost all	Reduced concentration of dissolved salts, less incidence of turbulence, a check regarding the saline intrusion, better bacteriological quality etc. are the major expected post project benefits	The issues listed are culled from varies studies conducted in the area by other agencies. There is also a variation in quality issues during different seasons. More primary data generation before the launching of the project is recommended to create a bench mark.
2	Mangavilthodu	8	10			
3	Pudukkayam- Mannothondu	11	12			
4	Chelathodu	10	11			
5	Vishnumangalam	7	9			
6	Aroonda II	11	12			
7	Kaalikolumbu	9	10			
8	Koodalayi-Punja	9	11			
9	Kundilavalappil	8	9			
10	Payyerikavu	10	11			
11	Kayalottuthazhe	11	12			
	11	10	10			

VIII. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION

“It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR”

1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA and DRDA Cell
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman
17	The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people’s contribution towards WDF
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF

21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.

Date:

Signature of officer authorized by State Govt.*

NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETTERS)

DESIGNATION

IX. STATUS OF ON-GOING PROJECTS (DPAP/ DDP/ IWDP)

Table- PPR 19: Details of pending UCs: Statewise* Rs in Lakhs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9		10	11	
No	District	Project	Instalment no.	Financial year of release of fund	Amount released	Amount utilized	Submission of UC		Date of submission of UC		Reasons for not submitting/ delayed submission of UC	Pending UCs	
							Due date	Amount	Date	Amount		Period	Amount
11	Kozhikkode												

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on _____: District wise*

1	2	3	4	5		6
No	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)	Total funds released		Unspent balance
				(Rs. in lakh)		
				DoLR	State	(Rs. in lakhs)
11	Kozhikkode					
	14		0	0	0	0

X. ABSTRACT OF PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR SANCTION DURING 2010-11

1	Number of districts to be covered under the present proposal	Thirteen	
2	No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others
3	Total area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)		
	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]	5.71	
	(b) Others		0.00
	Total	<u>5.71</u>	<u>0.00</u>
4	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)		
	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]	856.80	
	(c) Others		0.00
	Total	<u>856.80</u>	<u>0.00</u>
5	First installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	<u>154.22</u>	<u>0.00</u>

Project Abstract

1	Title of Project	Integrated Watershed Management Programme in Kozhikkode	
2	No. of watersheds proposed	<u>11</u>	
3	Area proposed	<u>5712</u>	<u>hectares</u>
4	Project Cost	<u>856.80</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
5	a	<u>771.12</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
	b	<u>85.68</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
6	Designation , address, e-mail and phone number of the officer in ZP/DRDA responsible for this project	Project Director, Poverty Alleviation Unit Kozhikkode District Panchayat	
		Phone	
		E-mail	

Certificate

Certified that the watershed proposed to be treated in this project does not overlap with any other scheme and there is no duplication of Central/external assistance.

**President
District Panchayat
Kozhikkode**

**Project Director
Poverty Alleviation Unit
District Panchayat
Kozhikkode**