Integrated Watershed Management Programme

Preliminary Project Report (PPR)

Alapuzha

Department of Land Resources, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India

I. Institutional Structures.

- I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies
- I. B. District Level Watershed Units
- I. Selection of Watershed Projects
- II. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- III. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- IV. Demography & land distribution
- V. Livelihoods
- VI. Expected project out comes
- VII. Mandatory certificates
- VIII. Status of on-going projects
- IX. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

2

I. Institutional Structures

I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	State	Type of SLNA [#]	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
1	Kerala	Mission	14-Jun-10		Twenty Five

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

	7			8						
Chairperson ar	nd Co Chairperson	CEO								
Name	Name Designation [#]		Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment \$	Tenure	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E- mail			
L C Goyal	Agriculture Production commissioner		Additional Director of Agriculture (SC	14-Jun-10						
S M Vijayanand	Principal Secretary, LSGD		Unit)							

Table-PPR 2: Details of functionaries in the SLNAs*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			9
	Total no. of persons working in the SLNA for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)		Total budget of SLNA (Rs.)		expected from DLR (Rs.)
No						(10.7)	R	NR	R	NR
1							·	·		

3

Table-PPR 3: Details of State Level Data Cell (SLDC) functionaries*

	rak		Details of State						T		1
1		2	3	4	5	6	7		3		9
No		Il no. of persons king in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	(R	Total budget of SLDC (Rs.)		expected from bLR (Rs.) NR
No		1						ĸ	IVK	R	IVK
1		Technical exper Agriculture Engi		PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2		Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro enterprises /livelihood)		B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3	Administrative Officer			PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N :	Finance cum Ac	counts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5	n e	Accounts assista	ants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert		B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry oper	ator	Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer		Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

4

I. B. District Level Watershed Units:

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam				
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha				
5	Kottayam				
6	Idukki				
7	Eranakulam	Respective District	President, respective		Three each in all Districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayaths	District panchayats		the State
9	Palakkad				
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayanadu				
13	Kannur				
14	Kasargode				

5

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell - cont.

	7	8	9	10	11	12		1	3
No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total bu Watershed	•		expected from LR (Rs.)
					(NS.)	R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
	52 persons				595000				

II. SELECTION OF WATERSHED PROJECTS

Table-PPR 5 : Status of District-wise area covered under the watershed programme* (MIS Table-M(SP)2)

1	2		3					Ę	5		
					Mic	cro-watersh	eds covered so	far			
		Total micro-watersheds in the District		Dept. of Land Resources (Pre-IWMP projects (DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		Other Min	istries/ Depts.	Tatal	.t.a.uala.a.da	Nick weeks welk and	
No.	Name of the District					Any other watershed project			itersheds ered	Net watersheds to be covered	
		No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area h	No.	Area (ha.)
1	Alapuzha	91	140638	4	0	12	27957	16	27957	73	107373
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	1459817

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2010-11

1	2	3	4	5	6	7								8						
		Name of	No. of micro-	Proposed	Type of project	Proposed					W	eight	age u	nder	the cr	iteria	#			
No	District	the project	watersheds proposed to be covered	project area (ha)	(Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Alappuzha	IWMP1	5	5889	Plains	706.68	33	15	0	50	0	0	75	38	55	0	20	50	0	335
		1	5	5889		706.68														

7

Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

No	Criteria	score		Ranges &	scores		
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)	
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less tha	an 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages	s are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less tha	an 50 % (3)	
V	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)	
vi	Moisture index/	15	-66.7 & below (15)	-33.3 to -66.6 (10)	0 to -	-33.2 (0)	
VI	DPAP/ DDP Block		DDP Block	DPAP Block	Non DPAP/ DDP Block	Above 70 % (Reject)	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Fully covered (0)	
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially	covered (5)	
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less than 10% of TGA(5)		
х	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	.	n & where productivity can be with reasonable efforts(5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)		viously treated watershed nor o watersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)	- 15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro watersheds in cluster (5)		
All	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous microwatersheds in the project)	1 13	Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 micro watersheds in cluster (5)		

III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table –PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State	Kerala						
2	Name & type# (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP1	0	Plains				
3	Name of the District		Alapuzha					
4	Names of the Blocks	Champakulam						
7	Names of the blocks	Ambalapuzha						
		Kainakary						
		Nedumudi						
5	Names of Gram Panchayats	Champakulan	n					
		Punnapra						
		Aryadu						
		Kainakary south		00106100				
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Kainakary north		00106800				
0	Mariles & Cerisus Code of Milages Covered	Nedumudi		00106900				
		Champakulam		00106800				
		Kuttamangalam		10P1a				
		Kainakary		10P2a				
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Marthanda		11M2a				
		Chittarimangalam		11M3a				
		R.Block		11M4a				
		Dilapidated traditional in Low productivity of land	rigation systems					
8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Strong presence of SC/ST	RDI families and	marginal farmors				
		Poor adaptation to clima		marginar raimers				
9	Area of the Project (ha.)	. 55. 23351211110 011111	5889					
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)		5889					
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)		706.68					
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Cham	oakulam Block P	anchayat				

9

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project*

(Area in ha)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
		Names of villages	Geographical	Forest	Land under	Rain fed	Permanent	W	asteland
No	Name of watersheds		Area of the watershed	Area	agricultural use	area	pastures	Cultivabl e	Non-cultivable
1	Kuttamangalam	Nedumudi, Champakulam,	2809	0	2012	2809	0	0	796
2	Kainakary	Kainakary north, Kainakary south	1456	0	1344	1456	0	0	112
3	Marthanda	Kainakary north	306	0	258	306	0	0	48
4	Chittarimangalam	Kainakary north	601	0	558	601	0	0	43
5	R.Block	Kainakary north	717	0	620	717	0	0	98
		5889	0	5889	0	5889	0	5889	

Source of data:

Kerala state land use board and Panchayat resource map

IV. AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION

Details about soil types, land uses etc. are given as additional tables

Table – PPR 10: Details of Agro-climatic condition*

1	2	3	5	7	8
No	Name of the watershed	Name of the Agro-climatic zone covers project area	Names of the villages	Topography#	Average rainfall in mm
1	Kuttamangalam	l (nastal sandy	Nedumudi, Champakulam, Kainakary north, Kainakary south	Flat	_
2	Kainakary	Kuttanad	Kainakary north, Kainakary south	Flat	E
3	Marthanda	Kuttanad	Kainakary north	Flat	2808
4	Chittarimangalam	Kuttanad	Kainakary north	Flat	75
5	R.Block	Kuttanad	Kainakary north	Flat	

Table – PPR 10 a: Details of soil types and major crops

1	2		6				9				
			Major soil types				Major crops				
No	Name of the watershed	Brown hydromo rphic soil	I SUII	Riverine alluvium	Acid saline	Total	Coconut	Paddy	Mixed	Rubber	Total
1	Kuttamangalam	0	0	1494	1315	2809	214	1799	0	0	2012
2	Kainakary	0	0	369	1087	1456	254	1091	0	0	1344
3	Marthanda	0	0	0	306	306	0	258	0	0	258
4	Chittarimangalam	0	0	0	601	601	0	558	0	0	558
5	R.Block	0	0	0	717	717	0	620	0	0	620

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area*

1	2	3		4	5
No	Particulars	Villagos		Periodicity	- Not affected
INO	Particulars	Villages	Annual	Any other - once in 4 years	
		No. of villages	0	4	0
1	1 Flood	Name(s) of villages	0	Kainakary south, Kainakary north, Nedumudi, Champakulam	
		No. of villages	0	4	0
2	Drought	Name(s) of villages	0	Kainakary south, Kainakary north, Nedumudi, Champakulam	
		Total	0	4	0

Table-PPR 12: Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tons/ ha/ year)
	Water erosion			
a	Severe	0	NA	NA
b	Moderate	0	NA	NA
С	Slight	5889	NA	NA
	Sub-Total	5889	NA	NA
	Wind erosion	0	NA	NA
	Total	5889	NA	NA

V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Details about population is given as table

Key features of population in Table

		Area (In Ha) Total Families			Population		BPL Families	Land
No	Watershed Name	Alea (III IIa)	TOtal Lailliles	Total	Total SC ST		DEL l'allilles	holding/Family
		5889	6521	29358	1969	12	3277	(In Ha)
1	Kuttamangalam	2809	3736	16697	1067	10	1914	0.75
2	Kainakary	1456	1247	5687	421	0	583	1.17
3	Marthanda	306	296	1342	92	0	161	1.03
4	Chittarimangalam	601	635	2861	181	2	334	0.95
5	R.Block	717	607	2770	208	0	284	1.18

VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaptation to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives.

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood intervention under the project	Current status of migration (No. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	Kuttamangalam	Employment in construction sector,	Animal husbandry with strong	167	Lack of job opportunities in
2	Kainakary	wage labour in semi skilled and	forward and backward linkages a	nd 57	the agriculture sector due to
3	Marthanda	unskilled activities, trading etc. are	supporting infrastructure and	13	low productivity and poor
4	Chittarimangalam	the major livelihood of the poor	initiatives at the homestead is the	e 29	income from land. This is
5	R.Block	people now. Middle and upper class	main possibility. High yield cows	28	inducing the farmer to fallow
	5	are employed in service sector,	which can be milked in tandem,	15	the land and search for

VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

1	2		3						4							
						Wage em	ploym	ent					Self employment			
			No	o. of man-o	lays in '00 s	5			No. of ben	eficiaries				No. of ber	neficiaries	
No.	Names of the watersheds	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
1	Kuttamangalam	107	1	3296	2087	5491	107	1	3296	2087	5491	64	1	824	1670	2558
2	Kainakary	42	0	1121	711	1874	42	0	1121	711	1874	25	0	280	569	874
3	Marthanda	9	0	265	168	442	9	0	265	168	442	6	0	66	134	206
4	Chittarimangalam	18	0	565	358	941	18	0	565	358	941	11	0	141	286	438
5	R.Block	21	0	546	346	913	21	0	546	346	913	12	0	136	277	426
	5	197	1	5792	3670	9660	197	1	5792	3670	9660	118	1	1448	2936	4503

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reason(s) for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	Kuttamangalam	167	16697	Lack of job	134
2	Kainakary	57	3355	opportunities in the	51
3	Marthanda	13	1651	agriculture and allied	8
4	Chittarimangalam	29	687	sectors. Low	21
5	R.Block	28	2770	productivity and poor	24
	5	15	1258		238

VII. (ii). Water related outcomes: Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas

(in meters)

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post-project level	Remarks
1	Kuttamangalam	Open wells	2	2	
'	Kuttamanyalam	Others - Ponds	2	2	
2	2 Kainakary	Open wells	2	2	This increase will
		Others - Ponds	2	2	substantially improve
3	Marthanda	Open wells	2	2	the drinking water
3	iviai tilailua	Others - Ponds	2	2	availability, and
4	Chittarimangalam	Open wells	2	2	-
4	Cilittai iiilailyalaili	Others - Ponds	2	2	quality.
5	R.Block	Open wells	2	2	
	IV.DIOCK	Others - Ponds	2	2	

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

1	2		3		4	5
No	Names of the watersheds	Availability of d	rinking water (no. of months in a year)	Qual	ity of drinking water	Comments
		Pre project	Expected post project	Pre project	Expected post project	
1	Kuttamangalam	9	10	Turbulence,	Reduced concentration of	The issues listed are
2	Kainakary	8	10	hardness,	dissolved salts, less incidence	culled from varies
3	Marthanda	11	12	high iron and	of turbulence, a check	studies conducted in
4	Chittarimangalam	10	11	salinity are	regarding the saline intrusion,	the area by other
5	R.Block	7 9		the major	better bacteriological quality	agencies. There is also a
	5	10 10		issues	etc. are the major expected	variation in quality

VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2		3	4		
No	Name of the Crop		Current status	Expected post project status		
		Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	
	Rabi					
	Paddy	4325	2125	6055	3506	

VIII. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION

"It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"

1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds
1 /	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals

12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR						
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA and DRDA Cell						
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds						
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860						
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman						
17	he Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF						
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken						
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration						
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF						
21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure						
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, misutilization, deliberate negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.						

Date:

Signature of officer authorized by State Govt.*

NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETTERS)

DESIGNATION

IX. STATUS OF ON-GOING PROJECTS (DPAP/ DDP/ IWDP)

Table- PPR 19: Details of pending UCs: Statewise* Rs in Lakhs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		(9	10	1	1
	District	Project	Instalment no.	Financial year of release of fund	Amount	Amount utilized	Submission of UC		Date of submission of UC		Reasons for not	Pending UCs	
No							Due date	Amount	Date	Amount	submitting/ delayed submission of UC	Period	Amount
4	Alapuzha												

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on _____: District wise*

1	2	3	4	5		6	
				Total funds released (Rs. in lakh)		Unspent balance	
No	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)			(Rs. in lakhs)	
				DoLR	State	(KS. III IdKI IS)	
4	Alapuzha						
	<u>14</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	

X. ABSTRACT OF PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR SANCTION DURING 2010-11

1	Number of districts to be covered under the present proposal	Thirteen	
2	No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others
	Total area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)		
3	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]	0.00	
3	(b) Others		5.89
	Total	<u>0.00</u>	<u>5.89</u>
	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)		
4	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]	0.00	
-	(c) Others		706.68
	Total	<u>0.00</u>	<u>706.68</u>
5	First installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	0.00	<u>127.20</u>

Project Abstract

1	Title of Project	Integrated Watershed Management Programme in	Alapuzha
2	No. of watersheds proposed	<u>5</u>	
3	Area proposed	<u>5889</u>	<u>hectares</u>
4	Project Cost	<u>706.68</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
5	a Amount to be met form IWMP	<u>636.012</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
	b From other sources	<u>70.67</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
6	responsible for this project	Project Director, Poverty Alleviation Unit Alapuzha District Panchayat Phone E-mail	

Certificate

Certified that the watershed proposed to be treated in this project does not overlap with any other scheme and there is no duplication of Central/external assistance.

President
District Panchayat
Alapuzha

Project Director Poverty Alleviation Unit District Panchayat Alapuzha