# **Integrated Watershed Management Programme**

# **Preliminary Project Report (PPR)**

Kottayam

Department of Land Resources, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India

#### I. Institutional Structures.

- I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies
- I. B. District Level Watershed Units
- I. Selection of Watershed Projects
- II. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- III. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- IV. Demography & land distribution
- V. Livelihoods
- VI. Expected project out comes
- VII. Mandatory certificates
- VIII. Status of on-going projects
- IX. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

2

#### I. Institutional Structures

## I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	State	Type of SLNA <sup>#</sup>	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
1	Kerala	Mission	14-Jun-10		Twenty Five

#### Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

	7	8									
Chairperson a	nd Co Chairperson	CEO									
Name	Designation <sup>#</sup>	Name	Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment \$	Tenure	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E- mail				
L C Goyal	Agriculture Production commissioner		Additional Director of Agriculture (SC	14-Jun-10							
S M Vijayanand	Principal Secretary, LSGD		Unit)								

#### Table-PPR 2: Details of functionaries in the SLNAs\*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			9
	Total no. of persons working in the SLNA for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)		Total budget of SLNA (Rs.)		expected from LR (Rs.)
No						( iii)	R	NR	R	NR
1										

3

Table-PPR 3: Details of State Level Data Cell (SLDC) functionaries\*

	Tak		Details of State		cell (SLDC	· -		1			
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	3		9
No	work	I no. of persons king in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budg (R:	et of SLDC s.) NR	•	expected from oLR (Rs.) NR
1		Technical exper Agriculture Engi		PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000	· ·			
2			echnical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro nterprises /livelihood)		10 years		50000				
3		Administrative Officer		PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N	Finance cum Ac	counts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5	n e	Accounts assista	ants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert  Data entry operator  Programmer		B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7				Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8				Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

4

## I. B. District Level Watershed Units:

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam				
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha				
5	Kottayam				
6	Idukki				
7	Eranakulam	Respective District	President, respective		Three each in all Districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayaths	District panchayats		the State
9	Palakkad				
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayanadu				
13	Kannur				
14	Kasargode				

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell - cont.

	7	8	9	10	11	12		1	3
No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total bu Watershed	•	(Rs.) DoLR (Rs.)	
					(113.)	R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
	52 persons	_			595000				

#### II. SELECTION OF WATERSHED PROJECTS

Table-PPR 5 : Status of District-wise area covered under the watershed programme \* (MIS Table-M(SP)2)

1	2		3	4					Į.	5		
					Mi	cro-watersh	eds covered so	far				
		Total micro-watersheds in		Dept. of Land Resources		Other Ministries/ Depts.		Total watersheds		Not watershade to be severed		
No.	Name of the District	the	District	Pre-IWMP projects (DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		Any other watershed project		Total watersheds covered		Net watersheds to be covered		
		No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area h	No.	Area (ha.)	
1	Kottayam	217	219498	3	0	82	112845	85	112845	113	97984	
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	1459817	

6

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2010-11

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8													
		Name of	No. of micro-	Proposed	Type of project	Proposed					W	eight	age u	nder	the cr	iteria	#			
No	District	the project	watersheds proposed to be covered	project area (ha)	(Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Kottayam	IWMP1	7	5365	Plains	643.80	31	27	0	70	0	0	105	53	30	0	75	105	0	496
		1	7	5365		643.80														

7

#### # Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

No	Criteria Criteria	score	Ranges & scores								
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)					
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less tha	an 20 % (3)					
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages a	are equal to or higher than mir	nimum wages (0)					
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	an 50 % (3)						
V	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)					
vi	Moisture index/	15	-66.7 & below (15)	-33.3 to -66.6 (10)	0 to -	-33.2 (0)					
VI	DPAP/ DDP Block		DDP Block	DPAP Block	Non DPAP/ DDP Block	Above 70 % (Reject)					
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Fully covered (0)					
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially	covered (5)					
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less tha	n10% of TGA(5)					
х	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	· .	n & where productivity can be with reasonable efforts(5)					
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)		viously treated watershed nor o watersheds in the project (0)					
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro watersheds in cluster (5)						
All	Cluster approach in the hills (mor than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 micro watersheds in cluster (5)						

## III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table -PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State		Kerala	
2	Name & type# (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP1	0	Plains
3	Name of the District		Kottayam	
4	Names of the Blocks	Erattupetta		
		Munnilavu		
		Melukavu		
5	Names of Cram Panchavats	Thalanad		
)	Names of Gram Panchayats	Theekoyi		
		Poonjar		
		Erattupetta		
		Melukavu	00095600	
		Erattupetta (CT)	'00096000	
4	Names & Consus Code of Villages covered	Poonjar Vadakkekkar	00095800	
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Teekoy	00095900	
		Moonilavu		00095700
		Poonjar Nadubhagar	m	00097400
		Areekkathodu		12M25d
		Vengattu thodu		12M25g
		Maravikkallu thodu		12M25h
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Mesthirippadi		12M25i
		Vellani		12M25k
		Orappurakkad	12M25m	
		Nedungazhi		12M25n

9

		Dilapidated traditional irrigation systems				
8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Low productivity of land				
	rour major reasons for selection of watershed	Strong presence of SC/ST, BPL families and marginal farmers				
		Poor adaptation to climate change				
9	Area of the Project (ha.)	5365				
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)	5365				
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)	643.80				
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Erattupetta Block Panchayat				

# Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year\*

#### There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project\*

(Area in ha)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
			Geographical	Forest	Land under	Rain fed	Permanent	W	asteland
No	Name of watersheds	Names of villages	Area of the watershed	Area	agricultural use	ural area pastures (		Cultivabl e	Non-cultivable
1	Areekkathodu	Melukavu, Moonilavu	463	0	418	464	0	13	32
2	Vengattu thodu	Erattupetta (CT), Moonilavu	820	0	793	820	0	14	13
3	Maravikkallu thodu	Poonjar Vadakkekkara,	736	0	702	735	0	28	6
4	Mesthirippadi	Poonjar Vadakkekkara	231	0	215	231	0	16	0
5	Vellani	Poonjar Vadakkekkara	568	0	553	568	0	1	14
6	Orappurakkad	Teekoy	650	0	615	650	0	22	13
7	Nedungazhi	Erattupetta (CT), Poonjar	1896	0	1853	1896	0	18	24
		Total	5365	0	5365	0	5365	0	5365

Source of data:

Kerala state land use board and Panchayat resource map

#### IV. AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION

Details about soil types, land uses etc. are given as additional tables

Table – PPR 10: Details of Agro-climatic condition\*

1	2	3	5	7	8
No	Name of the watershed		Names of the villages	Topography#	Average rainfall in
1	Areekkathodu	Malayoram	Melukavu, Moonilavu	Undulating	mm
2	Vengattu thodu	Malayoram	Erattupetta (CT), Moonilavu	Moderate	
3	Maravikkallu thodu	Malayoram	Poonjar Vadakkekkara, Moonilavu	Steep slope	Ę
4	Mesthirippadi	Malayoram	Poonjar Vadakkekkara	Steep slope	E E
5	Vellani	Malayoram	Poonjar Vadakkekkara	Steep slope	3254
6	Orappurakkad	Malayoram	Teekoy	Undulating	က
7	Nedungazhi	Malayoram	Erattupetta (CT), Poonjar Nadubhagam, Teekoy	Undulating	

Table - PPR 10 a: Details of soil types and major crops

1	2			6					9			
			Major soil types					Major crops				
No	Name of the watershed	Brown hydromo rphic soil	cull	Riverine alluvium	0	Total	Coconut	Paddy	Mixed	Rubber	Total	
1	Areekkathodu	0	464	0	0	464	0	0	334	84	418	
2	Vengattu thodu	0	820	0	0	820	4	0	482	307	793	
3	Maravikkallu thodu	0	735	0	0	735	6	0	309	387	702	
4	Mesthirippadi	0	231	0	0	231	1	0	116	97	215	
5	Vellani	0	568	0	0	568	0	0	492	61	553	
6	Orappurakkad	0	650	0	0	650	5	0	372	239	615	
7	Nedungazhi	0	1896	0	0	1896	19	4	1136	694	1853	

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area\*

1	2	3		4	5	
No	Particulars	Villages	Р	Not affected		
INO	r ai ticulai s	vinages	Annual	Any other - once in 4 years	ivot arrecteu	
		No. of villages	0	1	5	
1	1 Flood	Name(s) of villages	0	Poonjar Vadakkekkara		
	Dunanalak	No. of villages	0	1	5	
2	Drought	Name(s) of villages	0	Poonjar Vadakkekkara		
		Total	0	1	5	

## Table-PPR 12: Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tons/ ha/ year)
	Water erosion			
a	Severe	0	NA	NA
b	Moderate	5365	NA	NA
С	Slight	0	NA	NA
	Sub-Total	5365	NA	NA
	Wind erosion	0	NA	NA
	Total	5365	NA	NA

#### V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Details about population is given as table

**Key features of population in Table** 

		Area (In Ha)	Total Families		Population		BPL Families	Land
No	Watershed Name	Ai ea (iii iia)	Total Fallines	Total	SC	ST	DF L I allilles	holding/Family
		5365	4606	21933	708	1600	1242	(In Ha)
1	Areekkathodu	463	337	1514	58	428	108	1.37
2	Vengattu thodu	820	768	3566	128	561	155	1.07
3	Maravikkallu thodu	736	467	2151	94	135	152	1.58
4	Mesthirippadi	231	115	518	28	78	9	2.00
5	Vellani	568	280	1255	68	195	17	2.03
6	Orappurakkad	650	456	2122	86	70	189	1.43
7	Nedungazhi	1896	2183	10807	246	134	611	0.87

#### VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaptation to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives.

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood intervention under the project	Current status of migration (No. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	Areekkathodu	Employment in construction sector,	Animal husbandry with strong	15	Lack of job opportunities in
2	Vengattu thodu	wage labour in semi skilled and	forward and backward linkages a	nd 36	the agriculture sector due to
3	Maravikkallu thodu	unskilled activities, trading etc. are	supporting infrastructure and	22	low productivity and poor
4	Mesthirippadi	the major livelihood of the poor	initiatives at the homestead is the	5	income from land. This is
5	Vellani	people now. Middle and upper class	main possibility. High yield cows	13	inducing the farmer to fallow
6	Orappurakkad	are employed in service sector,	which can be milked in tandem,	21	the land and search for
7	Nedungazhi	government and large private	scientifically constructed cowshed	108	better alternatives. As more
	7	enterprises. Agriculture is not the	and biogas tank, grass cultivation	, 11	and more people move to the

#### VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

## VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

## Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

1	2		3						4							
			Wage employment										Self emp	loyment		
			No	o. of man-c	lays in '00 s	5			No. of ben	eficiaries				No. of be	neficiaries	
No.	Names of the watersheds	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
1	Areekkathodu	6	43	283	189	521	6	43	283	189	521	3	26	71	151	251
2	Vengattu thodu	13	56	686	446	1200	13	56	686	446	1200	8	34	171	357	569
3	Maravikkallu thodu	9	13	421	269	713	9	13	421	269	713	6	8	105	215	334
4	Mesthirippadi	3	8	99	65	175	3	8	99	65	175	2	5	25	52	83
5	Vellani	7	20	240	157	424	7	20	240	157	424	4	12	60	126	201
6	Orappurakkad	9	7	418	265	699	9	7	418	265	699	5	4	105	212	326
7	Nedungazhi	25	13	2146	1351	3535	25	13	2146	1351	3535	15	8	537	1081	1640
	7	71	160	4294	2742	7267	71	160	4294	2742	7267	42	96	1074	2193	3405

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reason(s) for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	Areekkathodu	15	1514	Lack of job	12
2	Vengattu thodu	36	2104	opportunities in the	32
3	Maravikkallu thodu	22	2646	agriculture and allied	13
4	Mesthirippadi	5	124	sectors. Low	4
5	Vellani	13	1255	productivity and poor	11
6	Orappurakkad	21	1252	income from land.	17
7	Nedungazhi	108	13292	Rural economic	97
	7	11	1109		186

## VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas (in meters)

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post-project level	Remarks
		Open wells	8	6	This increase will
1	Areekkathodu	Bore wells	90	72	substantially improve
		Others - Ponds	6	5	the drinking water
		Open wells	9	6	availability, reduce the
2	Vengattu thodu	Bore wells	105	84	drudgery for fetching
		Others - Ponds	7	6	water and increase the
		Open wells	7	5	irrigated areas. But
3	Maravikkallu thodu	Bore wells	95	76	substantial steps to
		Others - Ponds	5	5	improve water and
		Open wells	6	4	irrigation efficiency

4	Mesthirippadi	Bore wells	85	68
		Others - Ponds	4	4
		Open wells	7	5
5	Vellani	Bore wells	100	80
		Others - Ponds	5	5
		Open wells	8	6
6	Orappurakkad	Bore wells	115	92
		Others - Ponds	6	5
		Open wells	9	6
7	Nedungazhi	Bore wells	130	104
		Others - Ponds	7	6

through the application of modern and traditional technologies is essential. There must be simultaneous initiatives to reduce the contamination of surface water and ground water from the residues of pesticides and fertilizers,

Source of data:

Panchayat development report and resource map report

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water\*

1	2		3		4	5	
No	Names of the watersheds	Availability of di	rinking water (no. of months in a year)	Qual	ity of drinking water	Comments	
		Pre project	Expected post project	Pre project	Expected post project		
1	Areekkathodu	9	10	Turbulence,	Reduced concentration of	The issues listed are	
2	Vengattu thodu	8	10	hardness,	dissolved salts less incidence	culled from varies	
3	Maravikkallu thodu	11	12	high iron and		studies conducted in	
4	Mesthirippadi	10	11	salinity are	·	the area by other	
5	Vellani	7	9	the major	regarding the saline intrusion, better bacteriological quality	agencies. There is also a	
6	Orappurakkad	11	12	issues	etc. are the major expected	variation in quality	
7	Nedungazhi	9	10	observed.	post project benefits	issues during different	
	7	10	10	High	post project beliefits	seasons. More primary	

#### VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

#### Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2		3	4		
No	Name of the Crop		Current status	Expected post project status		
		Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	
	Kharif					
	Paddy	5	2591	5	2980	

#### VIII. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION

# "It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"

1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation							
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous bodies & others							
3	e State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project							
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed							
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008							
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds							
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted							
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works							
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring							
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators							
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals							
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR							
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA and DRDA Cell							

That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds							
/atershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860							
east one of the WDT Members must be a woman							
The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF							
Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken							
The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration							
No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF							
The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure							
The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, misutilization, deliberate negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.							

Date:

Signature of officer authorized by State Govt.\*

NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETTERS)

DESIGNATION

## IX. STATUS OF ON-GOING PROJECTS (DPAP/ DDP/ IWDP)

Table- PPR 19: Details of pending UCs: Statewise\* Rs in Lakhs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		Ó	9	10	1	1
	District	Project	oject Instalment no.	Financial year of release of fund	Amount	Amount utilized	Submission of UC		Date of submission of UC		Reasons for not	Pending UCs	
No							Due date	Amount	Date	Amount	submitting/ delayed submission of UC	Period	Amount
5	Kottayam												
					·								

## Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on \_\_\_\_\_: District wise\*

1	2	3	4	5		6	
				Total fund	ls released	Unspent balance	
No	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)	(Rs. in lakh)		(Rs. in lakhs)	
				DoLR	State	(KS. III IAKIIS)	
5	Kottayam						
	<u>14</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	

#### X. ABSTRACT OF PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR SANCTION DURING 2010-11

1	Number of districts to be covered under the present proposal	Thirt	teen
2	No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others
	Total area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)		
3	(a) Hilly & Desert areas <sup>#</sup>	0.00	
)	(b) Others		5.37
	Total	<u>0.00</u>	<u>5.37</u>
	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)		
4	(a) Hilly & Desert areas <sup>#</sup>	0.00	
7	(c) Others		643.80
	Total	<u>0.00</u>	<u>643.80</u>
5	First installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	<u>0.00</u>	<u>115.88</u>

## **Project Abstract**

1	Title of Project	Integrated Watershed Management Programme	e in Kottayam
2	No. of watersheds proposed	Z	
3	Area proposed	<u>5365</u>	<u>hectares</u>
4	Project Cost	<u>643.80</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
5	a Amount to be met form IWMP	<u>579.42</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
	b From other sources	<u>64.38</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
6	Designation, address, e-mail and phone number of the officer in ZP/DRDA responsible for this project	Project Director, Poverty Alleviation Unit Kottayam District Panchayat Phone E-mail	

#### **Certificate**

Certified that the watershed proposed to be treated in this project does not overlap with any other scheme and there is no duplication of Central/external assistance.

President District Panchayat Kottayam Project Director Poverty Alleviation Unit District Panchayat Kottayam