Integrated Watershed Management Programme

Preliminary Project Report (PPR)

Kottayam

Department of Land Resources, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India

I. Institutional Structures.

- I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies
- I. B. District Level Watershed Units
- I. Selection of Watershed Projects
- II. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- III. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- IV. Demography & land distribution
- V. Livelihoods
- VI. Expected project out comes
- VII. Mandatory certificates
- VIII. Status of on-going projects
- IX. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

I. Institutional Structures

I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	State	Type of SLNA [#]	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
1	. Kerala	Mission	14-Jun-10		Twenty Five

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

	7		8								
Chairperson an	nd Co Chairperson	CEO									
Name	Name Designation [#]		Designation	Date of Appointment	Tenure No./Fax/E-						
K Jayakumar IAS	Agriculture Production commissioner	M Nandakumar IAS	Commissioner for Rural development	14-Jun-10							
James Varghese IAS	Principal Secretary, LSGD		Rutai development								

Table-PPR 2: Details of functionaries in the SLNAs*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
	Total no. of persons working in the SLNA for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation		Total budget of SLNA (Rs.)		Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.)	
No	_						R	NR	R	NR
1										

Table-PPR 3: Details of State Level Data Cell (SLDC) functionaries*

1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	3		9
	work	l no. of persons king in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budg (R			expected from LR (Rs.)
No							(13.7)	R	NR	R	NR
1		Agriculture Engineering) Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood, micro enterprises /livelihood) Administrative Officer		PG/Ph.D. in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2				B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3				PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N	Finance cum Ac	counts Otticer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5	i n e	Accounts assista	ants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6				B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry operator		Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer		Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

I. B. District Level Watershed Units:

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam				
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha				
5	Kottayam				
6	Idukki				
7	Eranakulam	Respective District	President, respective		Three each in all Districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayats	District Panchayats		the State
9	Palakkad				
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayanadu				
13	Kannur				
14	Kasargode				

Table-PPR 4: Details of functionaries in the DRDA Watershed Cell - cont.

	7	8	9	10	11	12		1	3
No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total bu Watershee	•	• •	
						R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
	52 persons				595000				

II. SELECTION OF WATERSHED PROJECTS

Table-PPR 5: Status of District-wise area covered under the watershed programme*

(MIS Table-M(SP)2)

1	2		3				4			5		
					М	icro-watersł	neds covered so f	ar				
		Total micro-watersheds in of the District the District		Dept. of Land Resources Pre-IWMP projects (DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		Other Ministries/ Depts.		Total watersheds covered		Net watersheds to be covered		
No.	Name of the District					Any other watershed project						
		No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area h	No.	Area (ha.)	
1	Kottayam	217	219498	3	0	82	112845	85	112845	113	97984	
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	1459817	

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2011-12

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8													
		Name of	No. of micro-	Proposed	Type of project	Proposed					w	eight	age u	nder t	he cri	teria#	ŧ			
No	District	the	watersheds proposed to be covered	project area (ha)	(Hilly/	cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Average
1	Kottayam	IWMP2	7	5820	Plains	698.39	35	21	0	70	0	0	105	53	30	0	60	0	105	68
		1	7	5820		698.39														

* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects selected for sanction, from column no. 4, total no. of micro-watersheds to be covered, from column no. 5, total project area proposed, from column no. 7, total cost proposed, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

No	Criteria	score		Ranges & scores								
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)						
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)							
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages	is are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)							
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	an 50 % (3)							
v	Ground water status 5		Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)						
vi	Moisture index/	15	-66.7 & below (15)	-33.3 to -66.6 (10)	0 to	-33.2 (0)						
VI	DPAP/ DDP Block		DDP Block	DPAP Block	Non DPAP/ DDP Block	Above 70 % (Reject)						
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Fully covered (0)						
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially	covered (5)						
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low-less than 10% of TGA(5)							
x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can b marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts(5)							
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	3 <i>i</i>	Contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	° .	ly treated watershed nor contiguity ersheds in the project (0)						
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 micro watersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 micro watersheds in cluster (5)							
	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	a 3 to 5 micro watersheds in cluster (10) 2 to 3 micro watersheds in cluster								

Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table – PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State		Kerala						
2	Name & type [#] (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP2	IWMP2 0 Plain						
3	Name of the District	Kottayam							
4	Names of the Blocks	Uzhavoor							
4		Lalam							
		Ramapur	am						
5	Names of Cram Banchayats	Ramapuram Veliyanoor Karoor							
5	Names of Gram Panchayats								
		Kadanadu							
		Lalam		00096200					
		Veliyannur		00095000					
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Ramapuram		00095400					
		Kadanad		00095500					
		Vellilappilly		00095300					

		Chalilpuzha thodu	12M21b				
		Puthuveli thodu	12M21c				
		Kariyila thodu	12M21e				
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	12M21g				
		Ashramam valari thodu	12M21j				
		Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	13M59d				
		Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	13M59f				
		Dilapidated traditional irrigation systems					
8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Low productivity of land					
0	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Strong presence of SC/ST, BPL families and marginal farmers					
		Poor adaptation to climate change					
9	Area of the Project (ha.)	5820					
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)	5820					
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)	698.39					
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Uzhavoor Block Pa	inchayat				
13	Any other (please specify)	•					

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala

									(Area in ha)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
			Geographical	Farrat	Londing	Dain fad	Downoort	W	asteland
No	Name of watersheds	Names of villages	Area of the watershed	Forest Area	Land under agricultural use	Rain fed area	Permanent pastures	Cultivable	Non-cultivable
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	Vellilappilly,Lalam	1025	0	721	1025	0	202	102
2	Puthuveli thodu	Veliyannur,Ramapuram,Vellilappil ly	1618	0	1347	1618	0	35	236
3	Kariyila thodu	Ramapuram, Vellilappilly	543	0	482	543	0	19	42
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	Ramapuram, Vellilappilly, Kadanad	1691	0	1442	1691	0	142	106
5	Ashramam valari thodu	Vellilappilly,Lalam	570	0	475	570	0	37	58
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	Veliyannur	69	0	48	69	0	19	2
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	Veliyannur	305	0	280	305	0	7	18
		Total	5820	0	4794	5820	0	461	565

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project*

Source of data:

Kerala state land use board and Panchayat resource map

* From column no. 2, total no. of micro watersheds, from column no. 3, total no. of villages, from column no. 4 to 9, totals, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

IV. AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION

Details about soil types, land uses etc. are given as additional tables

	Table – PPR 10: Details of Ag	gro-climatic condition*			
1	2	3	5	7	8
No	Name of the watershed	Name of the Agro-climatic zone covers project area	Names of the villages	Topography#	Average rainfall in mm
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	Malayoram	Vellilappilly,Lalam.	Moderately to steeply sloping ridges	
2	Puthuveli thodu	Malayoram		Moderately to steeply sloping ridges	
3	Kariyila thodu	Malayoram	Ramapuram,Vellilappilly.	Valleys-gently sloping to flat bottom	F
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	Malayoram	Ramapuram,Vellilappilly,Kada nad.	Moderately to steeply sloping ridges	3524 mm
5	Ashramam valari thodu	Malayoram		Moderately to steeply sloping ridges	35
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	Malayoram	Velivannur	Moderately to steeply sloping ridges]
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	Malayoram	Velivannur.	Moderately to steeply sloping ridges]

1	2	6				9					
		Major soil types					Major crops				
No	Name of the watershed	Clay	Gravelly clay loam	Gravelly clay loam to clay loam	0	Total	Coconut	Paddy	Mixed	Rubber	Total
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	0	429	596	0	1025	288	5	219	209	1025
2	Puthuveli thodu	0	0	1618	0	1618	560	31	492	264	1618
3	Kariyila thodu	0	0	543	0	543	135	4	228	115	543
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	134	0	1556	0	1691	271	10	885	276	1691
5	Ashramam valari thodu	0	354	216	0	570	7	0	214	253	570
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	0	0	69	0	69	20	0	16	11	69
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	0	0	305	0	305	82	4	164	29	305

Table – PPR 10 a: Details of soil types and major crops

* From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

Flat, undulating, moderate slope, Steep slope

1	2	3		4	5	
No	Particulars	Villages	Per	iodicity	Not affected	
	Particulars	villages	Annual	Any other - once in 4 years	Not affected	
		No. of villages	0	5	0	
1	Flood	Name(s) of villages	0	Veliyannur, Vellilappally, Lalam, R amapuram, Kadanad	Nil	
		No. of villages	0	5	0	
2	Drought	Name(s) of villages	0	Veliyannur, Vellilappally, Lalam, R amapuram, Kadanad	Nil	
		Total	0	1		

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area*

Table-PPR 12 : Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tons/ ha/ year)
	Water erosion			
а	Severe	0	NA	NA
b	Moderate	5820	NA	NA
c	Slight	0	NA	NA
	Sub-Total	5820	NA	NA
	Wind erosion	0	NA	NA
	Total	5820	NA	NA

V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Details about population is given as table

Key features of population in Table

		Area (In Ha)	Total Families		Population		BPL Families	Land
No	Watershed Name	Alea (III ha)	Total Failines	Total	SC	ST	DFL Failines	holding/Family
		5820	6881	31483	1690	88	4674	(In Ha)
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	1025	1252	5763	444	10	812	0.82
2	Puthuveli thodu	1618	1920	8813	407	20	1217	0.84
3	Kariyila thodu	543	628	2891	136	9	419	0.87
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	1691	1841	8468	387	44	1238	0.92
5	Ashramam valari thodu	570	698	3216	252	5	521	0.82
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	69	100	428	12	0	123	0.69
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	305	443	1904	51	0	344	0.69

VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaptation to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives.

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood interventions unde the project	r Current status of migration (No. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	Employment in construction sector, wage labour in semi skilled and unskilled activities, trading etc. are	Animal husbandry with strong forward and backward linkages and supporting infrastructure and	58	Lack of job opportunities in the agriculture sector due to low productivity and poor
2	Puthuveli thodu	the major livelihood of the poor people now. Middle and upper class	initiatives at the homestead is the main possibility. High yield cows	88	income from land. This is inducing the farmer to fallow
3	Kariyila thodu	are employed in service sector, government and large private enterprises. Agriculture is not the	which can be milked in tandem, scientifically constructed cowshed and biogas tank, grass cultivation,	29	the land and search for better alternatives. As more and more people move to the
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	soul income anymore. Agricultural labour is part of the employment of the poor. For the poor families	training to the concerned, ensuring the availability of milking machines, soft finance, hand holding for the firs	85	urban areas seeking employment, whatever rural economic activities remain
5	Ashramam valari thodu	another major chunk is the income from MNREGS . Lower income	few years, providing functional insurance etc. are essential for the	32	gets weakened and faces a gradual demise. Only the
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	people also attempt animal husbandry with mixed results. More often the cash income from such	success of the programme. Food processing at household level using locally available banana, jack fruit,	4	people with ensured income or people who are unable to move remain in the villages.
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	activities is not sufficient due to a number of factors	mango etc. is another possibility. Rearing of backyard chicken, quail, rabbit etc. can be explored.	19	
	7			16	

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

1	2		3						4							
						Wage en	nploym	ent				Self employment				
			No	. of man-d	ays in '00 s	5			No. of ben	eficiaries				No. of ber	neficiaries	
No.	Names of the watersheds	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	44	1	1135	720	1900	44	1	1135	720	1900	27	1	284	576	887
2	Puthuveli thodu	41	2	1745	1102	2890	41	2	1745	1102	2890	24	1	436	881	1343
3	Kariyila thodu	14	1	572	361	948	14	1	572	361	948	8	1	143	289	441
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	39	4	1676	1058	2778	39	4	1676	1058	2778	23	3	419	847	1292
5	Ashramam valari thodu	25	1	633	402	1061	25	1	633	402	1061	15	0	158	322	495
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	1	0	85	54	140	1	0	85	54	140	1	0	21	43	65
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	5	0	379	238	622	5	0	379	238	622	3	0	95	190	288
	7	169	9	6226	3935	10339	169	9	6226	3935	10339	101	5	1556	3148	4811

Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages, from column no. 3 & 4, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the project.

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reason(s) for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	58	5763	Lock of ich opportunities in	46
2	Puthuveli thodu	88	5200	Lack of job opportunities in the agriculture and allied	79
3	Kariyila thodu	29	3556	sectors. Low productivity and poor income from land. Rural economic	17
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	85	2032	activities getting weakened. Weak	64
5	Ashramam valari thodu	32	3216	infrastructure and support services for agriculture.	27
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	4	253	Better livelihoods,	3
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	19	2342	Changing life styles.	17
	7	16	1118		254

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages; from column no. 3, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 4, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 6, total expected reduction on no. of persons migrating, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas

1	2	3		5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post-project	Remarks
		Open wells	7.5	5	
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	Bore wells	83	66	
		Others - Ponds	4.2	4	
	2 Puthuveli thodu	Open wells	8.5	6	This increase will substantially improve the drinking water
2		Bore wells	98	78	availability, reduce the drudgery for fetching water and increase
		Others - Ponds	5.2	5	the irrigated areas. But substantial steps to improve
	3 Kariyila thodu	Open wells	6.5	5	water and irrigation efficiency through the application of
3		Bore wells	88	70	modern and traditional technologies is essential. There
		Others - Ponds	4.5	4	must be simultaneous initiatives to reduce the contamination of
		Open wells	6	4	surface water and ground water from the residues of pesticides
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	Bore wells	78	62	and fertilizers, household waste and garbage, poor sanitation facilities, waste from fish/meat
		Others - Ponds	4	4	
		Open wells	7	5	stalls, vegetable markets and small teashops in the watershed
5	Ashramam valari thodu	Bore wells	93	74	area. Convergence efforts should be launched with other
		Others - Ponds	5	5	Govt departments and NGO s to achieve this. New agricultural
		Open wells	8	6	practices like SRI cultivation, drip irrigation and precision
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	Bore wells	108	86	farming should be promoted in tandem with high efficiency
		Others - Ponds	6	5	pumps, piped irrigation water, bio gas tanks etc.
		Open wells	9	6	
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	Bore wells	123	98	1
		Others - Ponds	7	6	1

1	2		3		4	5
No	Names of the watersheds	Availability of d	rinking water (no. of months in a year)	Qua	lity of drinking water	Comments
		Pre project	Expected post project	Pre project	Expected post project	
1	Chalilpuzha thodu	9	10			
2	Puthuveli thodu	8	10	Turbulence, hardness, high		The issues listed are culled from varies
3	Kariyila thodu	11	12	iron and salinity are the major issues observed.	Reduced concentration of dissolved salts, less incidence	studies conducted in the area by other agencies. There is also a variation in quality issues during different seasons. More
4	Kariyila thodu Kurinji	10	11	High presence of e coli is observed in	of turbulence, a check regarding the saline intrusion,	
5	Ashramam valari thodu	7	9	almost all open wells and ponds. They are also	better bacteriological quality etc. are the major expected post project benefits	primary data generation before the launching of
6	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 1	11	12	present in number of open wells as well.	post project benefits	the project is recommended to create
7	Thamarakkadu perumkutti thodu 2	9	10			a bench mark.
	7	10	10			

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

* from column no. 2, total no. of villages implementing the programme, from column no. 3, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire project. VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2		3	4		
No	Name of the Crop		Current status	Expected post project status		
		Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	
	Kharif	- 55	2591	61	2980	
	Paddy				2980	
	Rabi	- 55	2616	77	4316	
	Paddy	55	2010		4510	
	Zaid	0	2583	0	2906	
	Paddy	U	2003	U	2908	

* From column no. 2, total no. of crops; from columns no. 3 & 4, total cropped area, average productivity, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

VIII. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION

"It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"

1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each instalment of central funds
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipment are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA and DRDA Cell
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman
17	The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF
21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first instalment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project

Date:

Signature of officer authorized by State Govt.* NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETTERS)

DESIGNATION

*Letter of Authority from Secretary of the concerned Department, authorizing the concerned officer to sign the above undertaking, should be enclosed with PPR.

IX. STATUS OF ON-GOING PROJECTS (DPAP/ DDP/ IWDP)

Table- PPR 19: Details of pending UCs: State wise* Rs in Lakhs

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	Ð	10	1	1
	District	Project	Instalment no.	Financial year of release of fund	Amount	Amount utilized	Submission of UC		Date of submission of UC		Reasons for not	Pending UCs	
No							Due date	Amount	Date	Amount	submitting/ delayed submission of UC	Period	Amount
5	Kottayam												

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, total amount released, from column No. 7, total amount utilized, from column No. 8, total amount due, from column no. 9, total amount for which UCs submitted, from column No. 11, total amount of the pending UCs, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State.

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on _____: District wise*

1	2	3	4	5		6	
No				Total funds released		Unspent balance	
	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)	(Rs. in lakh)		(Rs. in lakhs)	
				DoLR	State		
5	Kottayam						

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no.4 to 6, totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State

X. ABSTRACT OF PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR SANCTION DURING 2010-11

1	Number of districts to be covered under the present proposal	Fourteen	
2	No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others
	Total area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)		
3	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]	0.00	
5	(b) Others		5.82
	Total	<u>0.00</u>	<u>5.82</u>
	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)		
4	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]	0.00	
4	(c) Others		698.39
	Total	<u>0.00</u>	<u>698.39</u>
5	First instalment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	<u>0.00</u>	<u>125.71</u>

Project Abstract

1	Title of Project	Integrated Watershed Management Programme in	Kottayam
2	No. of watersheds proposed	<u>7</u>	
3	Area proposed	<u>5820</u>	<u>hectares</u>
4	Project Cost	<u>698.39</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
5	a Amount to be met form IWMP	<u>628.55</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
	b From other sources	<u>69.84</u>	<u>in lakhs</u>
6	responsible for this project	Project Director, Poverty Alleviation Unit Kottayam District Panchayat Phone E-mail	

Certificate

Certified that the watershed proposed to be treated in this project does not overlap with any other scheme and there is no duplication of Central/external assistance.

President District Panchayat Kottayam Project Director Poverty Alleviation Unit District Panchayat Kottayam