

Preliminary Project Report

- I. Institutional Structures.
 - A. State Level Nodal Agencies
 - B. District Level Watershed Units
- II. Selection of Watershed Projects
- III. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- IV. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- V. Demography & land distribution
- VI. Livelihoods
- VII. Expected project out comes
- VIII. Mandatory certificates
- IX. Status of on-going projects
- X. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

I. Institutional Structures I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
S. No.	State	Type of SLNA [#]	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
	Kerala	Mission	14 June 2010		Twenty five

[#]Whether it is a Department/ Mission/ Society/ Authority/ Others (pl. specify) Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

	7				8		
Chairp	person				CEO		
Name	Designation [#]	Name	Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment ^{\$}	Tenure (No. of years)	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E-mail
Subrata Biswas IAS	Agriculture Production Commissioner	K.V. Mohankumar IAS	Commissioner for Rural Development	14 June 2010			
Dr. Rajan Khobragade IAS	Secretary, LSGD						

[#] APC/ ACS/ Dev. Commissioner/ Others (pl. specify) ^{\$} Deputation/ Contract

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9)
SI. No	Total no of Persons working in the SLNA of IWMP	Name & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work Allocation	Monthly remuneration	Total budge	t of SLNA	Funding E from Do	
							R	NR	R	NR
1	8	K.Shoukathali, Administrative Officer	MBA	25 Years	Administration & Co-ordination	Rs.65000/-	885000	3000000	885000	3000000
2	-	M.Jayasree, Technical Expert (Agri)	MSc Agriculture	25 Years	DPR,Agri & Soil, GIS	Rs.65000/-	875000		875000	
3		P.Balachandran Nair,Technical Expert (livelihhod)	MA	12 Years	Livelihood activities & Capacity Building	Rs.47000/-	636000		636000	
4		Kabeer.H, Finance Officer	Mcom	25 Years	Finance & Accounting	Rs.40000/-	540000		540000	
5		Dinil.R, Accounts Asst	MA	15 Years	Funds, Accounting, Establishment	Rs.30000/-	405000		405000	
6		Sindhu.D.S, Accounts Asst	BSc	15 Years	Scheme, IWDP,Audit	Rs.28000/-	379000		379000	
7		Karthiyani Devi.A.J, Programmer	Btech Computer Science	05 Yeas	Programming, MIS	Rs.25000/-	300000		300000	
8		Jisha.C.C, Data Entry Operator	Bcom with PGDCA	05 Years	Data Entry	Rs.10000/-	120000		120000	
							4140000	3000000	4140000	3000000

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	}		9
No	Total no. of persons working in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budget of SLDC (Rs.)		Fundir expect DoLR (ed from
	SLDC TOT TWIVIP						R	NR	R	NR
1		Technical expert, (Agriculture/ Agriculture Engineering)	PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2		Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro enterprises /livelihood)	B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3	٩	Administrative Officer	PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N in e	Finance cum Accounts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5		Accounts assistants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert	B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry operator	Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer	Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam				-
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha	1			1
5	Kottayam	1			
6	ldukki				-
7	Ernakulam	Respective District	President, Respective		Three each in all districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayats	District Panchayat		the State
9	Palakkad				-
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayaand				
13	Kannur				-
14	Kasaragpd				

	7	8	9	10	11		12	13	
No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Waters	udget of hed Cell Rs.)	Funding e fro DoLR	, m
						R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
					595000				1

-		Strift Wis		i cu unu	er the watershe	u progra			<u>'')~)</u>		
1	2		3					5			
					Micro-	watershe	ds covered so	far			
		Total micro-	Dep	ot. of Land	Other	Ministries/			Net watershe		
c		watersh	neds in the	R	esources		Depts.	Total watershed			
S.	Names of District	Di	strict	Pre-IWMP projects		Any other		covered		to be covered	
No.				(DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		watershed project					
		No.	Area		Area (ha.)	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No.	Area
		NO.	(ha.)	No.	Alea (IIa.)	NO.	Area (IIa.)	NO.	(ha.)	NO.	(ha.)
1	Kottayam	217	219498	3	0	82	112845	85	112845	113	97984
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	145981

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2013-14*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7								8						
			No. of micro		Type of						Wei	ghta	age u	Inder	⁻ the	crite	ria#			
SI. No	District	Name of the project	watersheds proposed to be covered	project	project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	Proposed cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Kottayam	Kottayam- IWMP-IV- 2013-14	7	6112.10	Hilly	916.80	7.5	3	0	10	2	0	15	5	15	10	10	0	15	92.5

* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects selected for sanction, from column no. 4, total no. of microwatersheds to be covered, from column no. 5, total project area proposed, from column no. 7, total cost proposed, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

SI. No.	Criteria	Maximum score		Ranges & so	cores	
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
V	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP Block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/ DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered (0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low- less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
Х	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	
	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	

III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table – PPR 7: Project at a Glance

¹ Name of the State	Kerala		
2 Name & type [#] (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP 4	0	Hilly
Name of the District	Kottayam		
4 Names of the Blocks	Erattupetta		
' Names of the blocks	Kanjirapally		
	Erattupetta		
	Poonjar		
5 Names of Grama Panchayats	Theekoyi		
	Poonjar- Thekkekkara		
	Koottikkal		
	Thidanad		
	Poonjar Nadumbhagam	0009740	0
	Erattupetta		
	Thekkoyi	0009590	
6 Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Koottickal	0010270	0
	Poonjar Thekkekkara	0009730	
	Kondoor	0009720	
	Mundakkayam	0010280	0
	Panampara	12M26a	
Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Poonjar	12M27a	
Numes & obues of the micro watersheas	Perumkulam	12M27b	
	Payyanithottam	12M27c	

		Aruvuthura	12M28a
		Kondur	12M29a
		Thidanad	12M29d
		Low productivity of land	
8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Water scarcity and insuffi	cient irrigation system
0	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Strong presence of SC/ST	F, BPL families and marginal farmers
		Poor adaptation to climat	te change
9	Area of the Project (ha.)		6112.10
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)		6112.10
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)		916.80
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Erattur	petta Block Panchayat
13	Any other (please specify)		

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

(There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
No	Name of Watersheds	Name & Census code of Villages	Geographical Area of the Watershed	Forest Area	Land under agricultural use	Rain fed area	Permanent pastures	Was Cultivable	teland Non- cultivable
1	12M26a	Poonjar Nadumbhagam Erattupetta	269.27	0	219.84	219.84	0	4.23	0
2	12M27a	Poonjar Nadumbhagam	542.26	0	449.91	449.91	0	55.50	0
3	12M27b	Thekkoyi Koottical Poonjar Nadumbhagam Poonjar Thekkekkara	_ - 1973.38 -	0	961.33	961.33	142.77	814.29	0
4	12M27c	Poonjar Thekkekkara	433.22	0	384.83	384.83	0	24.81	0
5	12M28a	Poonjar Thekkekkara Kondoor Erattupetta	709.14	0	642.16	642.16	0	0	0
6	12M29a	Mundakayam Kondoor Poonjar Thekkekkara Erattupetta	 1499.88	0	1477.4	1477.4	0	2.83	0
7	12M29d	Kondoor	684.95	0	639.68	639.68	0	0	0

Source of data: Land Use Board

* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects proposed, from column no. 4, total no. of blocks, from column no. 6 to 12, totals, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

1	2	Details of Agro-climatic condition 3	4	5	6
No	Name of Watersheds	Name of Agro- ecological zone covers project area	Name & Census code of Villages	Topography	Average rainfal in mm
1	101/0/-	N 4 - Law many	Poonjar Nadumbhagam	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep	
I	12M26a	Malayoram	Erattupetta	gradients, Steep slopes	
2	12M27a	High Range	Poonjar Nadumbhagam	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
			Thekkoyi		
3	12M/27h	2M27b High Range	Koottical	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep	3208 mm
5	12101270		Poonjar Nadumbhagam	gradients, Steep slopes	
			Poonjar Thekkekkara		
4	12M27c	High Range	Poonjar Thekkekkara	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
			Poonjar Thekkekkara	Nerrow vellove, Hills with steep	
5	12M28a	Malayoram	Kondoor	- Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
			Erattupetta	gradients, steep slopes	
			Mundakayam		
4	12M29a	Malayaram	Kondoor	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep	
6	12101298	Malayoram	Poonjar Thekkekkara	gradients, Steep slopes	
			Erattupetta		
7	12M29d	Malayoram	Kondoor	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	1

	Table – PPR 10 a: Det	ails of so	oil types a	nd major o	rops*								
1	2				3						4		
Na			-	Ν	Aajor So	il types				_	Major crop	S	
No	Name of Watersheds	K09	K11	K31	K32	K36	K38	Total	Paddy	Coconut	Mixed Crop	Rubber	Total
1	12M26a	2.59	15.63	0	0	251.06	0	269.28	0	0	166.52	53.32	219.84
2	12M27a	0	0	0	0	542.26	0	542.26	0	0	151.34	298.57	449.91
3	12M27b	0	0	0	0	1672.06	301.33	1973.39	0	344.21	76.55	540.11	960.87
4	12M27c	0	0	0	0	433.22	0	433.22	0	0	29.31	355.52	384.83
5	12M28a	29.54	253.44	0	0	426.16	0	709.14	0	0	478.69	163.47	642.16
6	12M29a	0	218.97	236.21	0	1043.77	0	1498.95	0	0	988.92	488.48	1477.4
7	12M29d	33.29	327.66	0	1.43	322.58	0	684.96	0	3.75	432.11	201.92	637.78
	TOTAL	65.42	815.7	236.21	1.43	4691.11	301.33	6112.10	0	347.96	2323.44	2101.39	4772.79

Source of data: Land Use Board

* From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

Flat, undulating, moderate slope, Steep slope

1	2		3		4		5	
SI.					Periodicity		Not affected	
10.	Particulars	Vi	llages	Annual	Any othe	Any other (please specify)		
1	Flood	No. of villages						
•		Name(s) of villag	es					
		No. of villages				7		
2	Drought	Name(s) of villag	es		Erattu Koot Thekke	r Nadumbhagam, petta, Thekkoyi, tickal, Poonjar ekkara, Kondoor, undakkayam		
		no. of villages, category rosion in the project area	wise, for the project may	be given at the e		ble.		
	Cause	Types of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (m		Average soil loss (Tones/ ha/yea		
W	later erosion	51		<u>`</u>			<u>, </u>	
	а	Severe	0					
	b	Moderate	6112.10					
	С	Slight	0					
Sub Total		6112.10	0		0			
	/ind erosion							
W			1	0		0		

V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Growth in population during the last three census', per capita availability of land, sex ratio, population age group in the project area, literacy level, migration, workforce available in different sectors of the economy, demography of SC, ST, BPL and landless families in the project area in the last ten years, etc.

No	Watershed name	Area (in Ha)	Total		Population		BPL	Land holding/ Family
INU	water sneu harne	Alea (III na)	families	Total	SC	ST	Families	(in Ha)
1	12M26a	269.27	350	1741	35	4	233	0.77
2	12M27a	542.26	393	1811	69	7	262	1.38
3	12M27b	1973.38	2547	11521	595	354	1698	0.77
4	12M27c	433.22	307	1416	54	6	205	1.41
5	12M28a	709.14	1551	8019	98	15	1034	0.46
6	12M29a	1499.88	1538	7309	277	36	1025	0.97
7	12M29d	684.95	715	3342	175	15	476	0.96
	Total	6112.10	7401	35159	1302	437	4934	

Growth in population during the last three census

No	Watershed name	1981	1991	2001
1	12M26a	1392	1591	1741
2	12M27a	1448	1655	1811
3	12M27b	9210	10529	11521
4	12M27c	1132	1294	1416
5	12M28a	6411	7329	8019
6	12M29a	5843	6680	7309
7	12M29d	2672	3054	3342
	Total	28107	32132	35159

VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaption to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives. **Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods**

No	Name of Watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood interventions under the project	Current status of migration (no. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	12M26a	Employment in construction sector, wage labour in semi skilled	Animal husbandry with strong forward and backward linkage and	17	Lack of job opportunities in
2	12M27a	and unskilled activities, trading etc. are the major livelihood of the	supporting infrastructure and initiatives at the homestead is the	18	agriculture sector due to low productivity and poor income from land. This is inducing the farmer to fallow the land and
3	12M27b	poor people now. Middle and upper class are employed in	main possibility. high yield cows which can be milked in tandem, scientifically constructed cowshed	115	
4	12M27c	large private enterprises. Agriculture is not the soul income anymore. Agricultural labour is	and biogas tank, grass cultivation, training to the concerned, ensuring the availability of milking	14	search for better alternatives. As more and more people move to urban areas seeking
5	12M28a	part of the employment of the poor. For the poor families another major chunk is the income from MNREGS. Lower income people also attempt	. For the poor families her major chunk is the ne from MNREGS. Lower ne people also attemptholding for the first few years, providing functional insurance etc, are essential for the success of the programme. Food processing at	80	employment, whatever rural economic activities remain gets weakened and faces a
6	12M29a			73	gradual demise. Only the people with ensured income
7	12M29d	results. More often the cash income from such activities is not sufficient due to a number of factors	available banana, jack fruit, mango etc. is another possibility. Rearing of backyard chicken, quail, rabbit etc. can b explored.	33	or people who are unable to move remain in the villages

VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

					N	Nage em	ployn	nent						Self empl	oyment		
No	Watershed name		No	o. of mand	lays in '00 s	8		Ν	lo. of ber	eficiaries			N	o. of ben	eficiaries		
		SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	
1	12M26a	3	0	282	276	561	3	0	282	276	561	2	0	70	221	293	854
2	12M27a	7	1	289	290	587	7	1	289	290	587	4	0	72	232	309	896
3	12M27b	17	1	1857	1830	3706	17	1	1857	1830	3706	10	1	464	1464	1940	5646
4	12M27c	5	1	226	227	459	5	1	226	227	459	3	0	57	181	242	701
5	12M28a	10	2	1304	1262	2577	10	2	1304	1262	2577	6	1	326	1010	1343	3920
6	12M29a	0	0	1176	1163	2339	0	0	1176	1163	2339	0	0	294	931	1224	3563
7	12M29d	17	1	537	533	1088	17	1	537	533	1088	10	1	134	426	572	1660
	Total	61	6	5670	5581	11318	61	6	5670	5581	11318	20	2	664	2098	5922	17240

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages, from column no. 3 & 4, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the project.

Table-	PPR 15: Details of migratio	n from Project area	-		
No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reasons for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	12M26a	17	1654	Lack of opportunities in the	13
2	12M27a	18	1720	agriculture and allied	14
3	12M27b	115	10945	sectors. Low productivity and poor income from land.	86
4	12M27c	14	1345	Rural economic activities	11
5	12M28a	80	7618	getting weakened. Weak infrastructure and support	60
6	12M29a	73	6943	services to agriculture. Better livelihoods. Changing	55
7	12M29d	33	3175	life syles	25

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages; from column no. 3, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 4, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 6, total expected reduction on no. of persons migrating, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas (in meters)

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post- project level	Remarks
		Open wells	8	7	
1	12M26a	Bore wells	35	33	
		Others - Ponds	7	6	
		Open wells	2.9	2	This increase will
2	12M27a	Bore wells	30	28	substantially improve the
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	drinking water availability,
		Open wells	2.9	2	reduce the drudgery for
3	12M27b	Bore wells	30	28	fetching water . But
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	substantial steps to
		Open wells	2.9	2	improve water and
4	12M27c	Bore wells	30	28	 irrigation efficiency through the application of
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	modern and traditional
		Open wells	8	7	technologies is essential.
5	12M28a	Bore wells	35	33	There must be
		Others - Ponds	7	6	simultaneous initiatives to
		Open wells	3	2	reduce the contamination
6	12M29a	Bore wells	30	28	of surface water and
		Others - Ponds	2	1	ground water.
		Open wells	4	3	
7	12M29d	Bore wells	30	28	
		Others - Ponds	3	2	

Source of data: Central Ground Water Board

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

1	2		3		4	5
S.	Codes of the		of drinking water onths in a year)	Quality of c	Irinking water	Comments
No.	watersheds	Pre-project Expected Post- project		Pre-project Expected Post-project		
1	12M26a	8	9			
2	12M27a	9	10		Reduced concentration of total dissolved salts,	The issues listed are
3	12M27b	9	10	Turbulence, hardness,	less incidence of turbulence, better bacteriological quality	culled from varies studies conducted in the area by
4	12M27c	9	10	high iron are the major issues		other
5	12M28a	8	9	observed.	etc. are the major expected post project	agencies. There is also a variation in quality issues
6	12M29a	9	10		benefits	during different seasons.
7	12M29d	9	10			

* from column no. 2, total no. of villages implementing the programme, from column no. 3, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire project.

VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2	3	}	4			
S.	Name of the Crop	Current	t status	Expected post project status			
No.	•	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)		
1	Coconut	347.96	5209 nos. / ha	370	5300 nos. / ha		
2	Rubber	2101.39	1514	2200	1700		

* From column no. 2, total no. of crops; from columns no. 3 & 4, total cropped area, average productivity, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

V	III. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION
"	It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"
1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous
	bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA and DRDA Cell
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman
17	The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF
21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary
	documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate
	negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.
te:	
	Signature of officer authorized by State Go NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETT DESIGNA
*Let	ter of Authority from Secretary of the concerned Department, authorizing the concerned officer to sign the above undertaking, should be enclosed with PPR.

1	ble- PPR 19 : 2	3	4	5	6	7		8		9	10		11	
SI.	Dictrict	Droject	Instal	Financial year of	Amount released	Amoun t utilized	Submis	sion of UC		ubmission UC	Reasons for not submittin	Pend	Pending UCs	
No.	District	Project	ment no.	release of fund	(Rs. in Iakh)	(Rs.in lakhs)	Due date	Amount (Rs. in Iakhs)	Date	Amount (Rs. in lakhs)	g/ delayed submissio n of UC	Period	Amount (Rs. in Iakhs)	
1	Kottayam	KTM 1					31-03- 2010		29-07- 2013	88.53	Audit report awaited			
2	ļ	KTM 2					31-03- 2010		29-07- 2013	88.65				
3		KTM 3					31-03- 2010		29-07- 2013	190.47				

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, total amount released, from column No. 7, total amount utilized, from column No. 8, total amount due, from column no. 9, total amount for which UCs submitted, from column No. 11, total amount of the pending UCs, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State.

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on 31.07.2013: Districtwise*

1	2	3	4	5		6	
S. No.	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)	Total funds released (Rs. in lakh)		Unspent balance (Rs. in lakhs)	
		Project		DoLR	State	(RS. III IdKIIS)	
1	Kottayam	KTM 1	300	119.47	11.25	1.92	
2		KTM2	300	201.94	11.25	6.7	
3		KTM 3	649	263.47	24.33	6.2	

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no.4 to 6, totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State

2	No. c	of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others		
3	Total	area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)				
	(a)	Hilly & Desert areas [#]	6112	.10		
	(b)	Others				
	(c)	Total	6112	.10		
4	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)					
	(a)	Hilly & Desert areas [#]	916.	80		
	(b)	Others				
	(c)	Total	916.	80		
5	First	installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	183.	22		
[#] For details	s refer App	endix-l				

Date of meeting of PPR sanctioning Committee
Decision taken by the Committee
Date of receipt of Annual Action Plan
Brief details of Annual Action Plan
Final approval of projects/area/costs/project period
Amount released as first installment and date of release
File No.

:

:

:

:

:

:

: