Integrated Watershed Management Programme

Preliminary Project Report (PPR)

PATHANAMTHITTA

Department of Land Resources, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India

Preliminary Project Report

- I. Institutional Structures.
 - A. State Level Nodal Agencies
 - B. District Level Watershed Units
- II. Selection of Watershed Projects
- III. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- IV. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- V. Demography & land distribution
- VI. Livelihoods
- VII. Expected project out comes
- VIII. Mandatory certificates
- IX. Status of on-going projects
- X. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

I. Institutional Structures

I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs): Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
S. No.	State	Type of SLNA#	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
	Kerala	Mission	14 June 2010		Twenty five

^{*}Whether it is a Department/ Mission/ Society/ Authority/ Others (pl. specify) **Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)**

7			8								
Chairp	erson		CEO								
Name	Designation#	Name	Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment \$	Tenure (No. of years)	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E-mail				
Subrata Biswas IAS	Agriculture Production Commissioner	K.V. Mohankumar IAS	Commissioner for Rural Development	14 June 2010							
Dr. Rajan Khobragade IAS	Secretary, LSGD										

^{*} APC/ ACS/ Dev. Commissioner/ Others (pl. specify) \$ Deputation/ Contract

Table-PPR 2: Details of functionaries in the SLNAs*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		(9
SI. No	Total no of Persons working in the SLNA of IWMP	Name & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work Allocation	Monthly remuneration	Total budge	et of SLNA	Funding from Do	Expected oLR (Rs)
							R	NR	R	NR
1	8	K.Shoukathali, Administrative Officer	MBA	25 Years	Administration & Co-ordination	Rs.65000/-	885000	3000000	885000	3000000
2		M.Jayasree, Technical Expert (Agri)	MSc Agriculture	25 Years	DPR,Agri & Soil, GIS	Rs.65000/-	875000		875000	
3		P.Balachandran Nair,Technical Expert (livelihhod)	MA	12 Years	Livelihood activities & Capacity Building	Rs.47000/-	636000		636000	
4		Kabeer.H, Finance Officer	Mcom	25 Years	Finance & Accounting	Rs.40000/-	540000		540000	
5		Dinil.R, Accounts Asst	MA	15 Years	Funds, Accounting, Establishment	Rs.30000/-	405000		405000	
6		Sindhu.D.S, Accounts Asst	BSc	15 Years	Scheme, IWDP,Audit	Rs.28000/-	379000		379000	
7		Karthiyani Devi.A.J, Programmer	Btech Computer Science	05 Yeas	Programming, MIS	Rs.25000/-	300000		300000	
8		Jisha.C.C, Data Entry Operator	Bcom with PGDCA	05 Years	Data Entry	Rs.10000/-	120000		120000	
							4140000	3000000	4140000	3000000

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8		9
No	Total no. of persons working in the SLDC for	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total bu SLDC (Rs)	DoLR (ed from Rs.)
	IWMP						R	NR	R	NR
1		Technical expert, (Agriculture/ Agriculture Engineering)	PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2		Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro enterprises /livelihood)	B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3		Administrative Officer	PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N in e	Finance cum Accounts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5		Accounts assistants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert	B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry operator	Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer	Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam	-			
3	Pathanamthitta	-			
4	Alapuzha	-			_
5	Kottayam	-			
6	Idukki				
7	Ernakulam	Respective District	President, Respective		Three each in all districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayats	District Panchayat		the State
9	Palakkad	-			
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayaand				
13	Kannur				
14	Kasaragpd	-			

PPR 4 Details of Functionaries in District level Watershed Cell (contd...)

	7	8	9	10	11	12		1	3		
No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budget of Watershed Cell (Rs.)		Watershed Cell (Rs.)		Funding e fro DoLR	om
						R	NR	R	NR		
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000						
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000						
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500						
					595000						

II. SELECTION OF WATERSHED PROJECTS

Table-PPR 5: Status of District-wise area covered under the watershed programme* (MIS Table-M(SP)2)

1	2		3				5					
					Micro-\	vatershe	ds covered so	far				
		Total micro-		Dep	ot. of Land	Other	Ministries/	Total watersheds		Net watersheds to be covered		
S.	waters		eds in the	R	esources	l	Depts.					
No.	Names of District	Names of District District		Pre-IWMP projects (DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		Any other watershed project		CO/	vered	to be covered		
INO.												
			No.	Area	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No.	Area
		140.	(ha.)	140.	7 ii ca (iia.)	140.	7 (ra.)	140.	(ha.)	140.	(ha.)	
1	Pathanamthitta	221	265280	3	0	63	76591	66	66 76591		54109	
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	1459817	

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2014-15*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8													
			No. of micro		Type of	Type of Propose	Propose Weightage under the criteria#													
SI. No.	District	Name of the project	watersheds proposed to be covered	project	project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	d cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Pathanam thitta	Pathanamth itta-IWMP- IV-2014-15	6	3890	Plains	466.80	7.5	3	0	10	2	0	15	5	10	10	10	15	0	87.5

^{*} From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects selected for sanction, from column no. 4, total no. of microwatersheds to be covered, from column no. 5, total project area proposed, from column no. 7, total cost proposed, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

SI. No.	Criteria Criteria	Maximum score		Ranges &	scores	
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
V	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP Block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/ DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered (0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low- less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
х	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous microwatersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	
	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	

III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table -PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State	Kerala							
2	Name & type# (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP 4	0	Plains					
3	Name of the District	Pathanamthitta	-						
4	Names of the Blocks	Koipram, Pulikeezhu							
		Ezhumattoor							
		Iraviperoor							
5	Names of Grama Panchayats	Koipram							
Ü	Names of Grama Panchayats	Puramattom							
		Thottapuzhasseri							
		Kuttoor							
		Iraviperoor	9						
		Kuttoor	7						
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Thelliyoor	62830						
	Traines & Jensus Joue of Villages Covered	Thottapuzhassery	62830	1					
		Koipram	62830	0					
		Puramattom	62831	0					
		Odara	10P12	a1					
		Kuravankuzhi	10P14	a1					
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Nedumprayar	10P14	a2					
,	Names & codes of the filtro-watersheds	Vennikulam	11M52	2c					
		Vallamkulam Kizhakku	11M54	4a1					
		Manvattom	11M54a2						
8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Poor socio-economic co	ondition of people						

		Low productivity of land
		Strong presence of SC/ST, BPL families and marginal farmers
		Poor adaptation to climate change
9	Area of the Project (ha.)	5796.80
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)	3890.00
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)	466.80
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Koipram Block Panchayat
13	Any other (please specify)	

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

(There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala)

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project*

(Area in ha)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
	Name of	Name & Census code of	Geographical	Forest	Land under	Rain fed	Permanent	Was	steland	
No	Watersheds	Villages	Area of the Watershed	Area	agricultural use	area	pastures	Cultivable	Non- cultivable	
1	10P12a1	Iraviperoor, Kuttoor	291.05	-	291.05	291.05		11.74	-	
2		Thelliyyur,	735.14	_	735.14	735.14			_	
_	10P14a1	Thottapuzhassery, Koipram						14.66		
3	10P14a2	Thottapuzhassery, Koipram	687.42	-	687.42	687.42		15.99	-	
4	11M52c	Puramattom, Thelliyyur	240.21	-	240.21	240.21			-	
5	11M54a1	Iraviperoor, Koipr am	875.45	-	875.45	875.45	1.98	4.51	-	
6	11M54a2	Iraviperoor, Kuttoor	1061.34	-	1061.34	1061.34	1.03	21.11	-	

Source of data: Land Use Board

^{*} From column no. 2, total no. of microwatersheds, from column no. 3, total no. of villages, from column no. 4 to 9, totals, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

IV. AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITION

Details about soil types, land uses etc. are given as additional tables

Table - PPR 10: Details of Agro-climatic condition*

1	2		3	5	7
No	Name of Watersheds	Name of the Agro- climatic zone covers project area	Name & Census code of Villages	Topography#	Average rainfall in mm
1	10P12a1	Southern midlands	Iraviperoor, Kuttoor	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
2	10P14a1	Southern midlands	Thelliyyur, Thottapuzhassery, Koipram	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
3	10P14a2	Southern midlands	Thottapuzhassery, Koipram	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	2840
4	11M52c	Southern midlands	Puramattom, Thelliyyur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
5	11M54a1	Southern midlands	Iraviperoor, Koipr am	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
6	11M54a2	Southern midlands	Iraviperoor, Kuttoor	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	

^{*} From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

[#] Flat, undulating, moderate slope, Steep slope

Table - PPR 10 a: Details of soil types and major crops

1	2		3				4			
	Name of Watersheds	Major Soil types			Major crops					
No		K11	K12	Total	Paddy	Coconut	Mixed	Rubber	Total	
1	10P12a1	291.05		291.05	42.38		224.19	11.34	277.91	
2	10P14a1	735.14		735.14	3.35		46.36	670.74	720.45	
3	10P14a2	684.52	2.88	687.42	97.51		87.39	483.08	667.98	
4	11M52c	240.21		240.21			15.56	224.64	240.2	
5	11M54a1	875.45		875.45	73.24		546.01	246.7	865.95	
6	11M54a2	1061.34		1061.34	178.62		607.38	236.21	1022.21	

Source of data: Land Use Board

^{*}From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area*

1	2	3		4	5
SI.				Periodicity	
No.	Particulars	Villages	Annual	Any other (please specify)	Not affected
1	Flood	No. of villages		3	
		Name(s) of villages		Kaviyoor, Thottapuzhassery, Eraviperoor	
2	Drought	No. of villages		4	
		Name(s) of villages		Kaviyoor, Eraviperoor, Puramattom, Thelliyyor	

^{*} From column nos. 4 & 5, total no. of villages, category wise, for the project may be given at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 12 : Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year)
Water erosion				
а	Slight	0		
b	Moderate	3890.00		
С	Severe	0		
Sub-Total		3890.00		
Wind erosion			NA	
Total		3890.00		

V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Growth in population during the last three census', per capita availability of land, sex ratio, population age group in the project area, literacy level, migration, workforce available in different sectors of the economy, demography of SC, ST, BPL and landless families in the project area in the last ten years, etc.

No	Watershed name	Area (in Ha)	Total families		Population		BPL	Land holding/
110	Water Streamartic	7 ii ca (iii iia)	rotal rammos	Total	SC	ST	Families	Family (in Ha)
1	10P12a1	291.05	2187	8258	1211	27	1312	0.29
2	10P14a1	735.14	1966	7274	817	26	1180	0.32
3	10P14a2	687.42	1903	6906	704	19	1142	0.43
4	11M52c	240.21	563	2100	212	9	338	0.29
5	11M54a1	875.45	3037	11244	1700	51	1822	0.33
6	11M54a2	1061.34	4037	15141	2258	59	2422	0.28
	Total		13693	50923	6902	191	8216	

Growth in population during the last three census

No	Watershed name	1991	2001	2011
1	10P12a1	7197	7875	8258
2	10P14a1	6339	6937	7274
3	10P14a2	6018	6586	6906
4	11M52c	1830	2003	2100
5	11M54a1	9799	10723	11244
6	11M54a2	13195	14439	15141
	Total	44378	48563	50923

VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaption to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives.

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

No	Name of Watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood interventions under the project	Current status of migration (no. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	10P12a1	Employment in construction sector, wage labour in semi skilled and unskilled activities, trading etc. are the major livelihood of the poor people now. Middle and	Animal husbandry with strong forward and backward linkage	248	
2	10P14a1		and supporting infrastructure and initiatives at the homestead is the main possibility. high yield cows	218	Lack of job opportunities in agriculture sector due to low productivity and poor income
3	10P14a2	upper class are employed in service sector, government and large private enterprises.	which can be milked in tandem, scientifically constructed cowshed and biogas tank, grass cultivation, training to the	207	from land. This is inducing the farmer to fallow the land and search for better alternatives. As more and more people
4	11M52c	Agriculture is not the soul income anymore. Agricultural labour is part of the employment of the poor. For the poor families another major chunk is the income from MNREGS. Lower income people also attempt animal husbandry with mixed results. More often the cash income from such activities is not sufficient due to a number of factors	concerned, ensuring the availability of milking machines, soft finance, hand holding for the first few years, providing functional insurance etc, are essential for the success of the programme. Food processing at household level using locally available banana, jack fruit,	63	move to urban areas seeking employment, whatever rural economic activities remain
5	11M54a1			337	gets weakened and faces a gradual demise. Only the people with ensured income or people who are unable to move remain in the villages
6	11M54a2		mango etc. is another possibility. Rearing of backyard chicken, quail, rabbit etc. can b explored.	454	

VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

	Watershed -	,		oration	V	Vage em	ployme	nt					(Self emplo	oyment		
No	name		No.	of manda	ys in '00 s		No. of beneficiaries			No. of beneficiaries							
	namo	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	
1	10P12a1	727	16	1231	1456	3429	727	16	1231	1456	3429	436	10	192	441	1079	4509
2	10P14a1	490	16	1092	1275	2873	490	16	1092	1275	2873	294	10	273	1020	1597	4469
3	10P14a2	422	11	1038	1208	2680	422	11	1038	1208	2680	253	7	259	967	1486	4167
4	11M52c	85	5	319	364	773	85	5	319	364	773	51	3	80	291	425	1198
5	11M54a1	680	31	1672	1986	4369	680	31	1672	1986	4369	408	18	418	1588	2433	6801
6	11M54a2	903	35	2255	2671	5864	903	35	2255	2671	5864	542	21	564	2137	3264	9128
	Total	3307	115	7607	8959	19988	3307	115	7607	8959	19988	1984	69	1786	6444	10284	30272

^{*} From column no. 2, total no. of villages, from column no. 3 & 4, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the project.

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reasons for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	10P12a1	248	27251		211
2	10P14a1	218	24004	Low productivity and poor income from	185
3	10P14a2	207	22790	land. Rural economic activities getting weakened. Weak infrastructure and	176
4	11M52c	63	6930	support services to agriculture. Better	54
5	11M54a1	337	37105	livelihoods	287
6	11M54a2	454	49965		386

^{*} From column no. 2, total no. of villages; from column no. 3, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 4, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 6, total expected reduction on no. of persons migrating, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas (in meters)

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post- project level	Remarks
1		Open wells	7	6	
1	10P12a1	Bore wells	40	39	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	
2		Open wells	2.5	1.5	
2	10P14a1	Bore wells	20	18	
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	This increase will
		Open wells	2.5	1.5	substantially improve the
3	10P14a2	Bore wells	20	18	drinking water availability,
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	reduce the drudgery for fetching water There must
4		Open wells	3	2	be simultaneous initiatives
4	11M52c	Bore wells	20	19	to reduce the
		Others - Ponds	2	1	contamination of surface
		Open wells	2	1	water and ground water.
5	11M54a1	Bore wells	20	19	- Water and ground water.
		Others - Ponds	2	1	
		Open wells	2.5	1.5	
6	11M5a2	Bore wells	20	18	
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	

Source of data: Ground water Department

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

1	2		3		4	5
S. No.	Codes of the watersheds	Availability of drinking water (no. of months in a year)		Quality o	f drinking water	Comments
INO.	watersneus	Pre-project	Expected Post-project	Pre-project	Expected Post-project	
1	10P12a1	9	10			
2	10P14a1	10	11	Turbulence, hardness, high	Reduced concentration	The issues listed are culled from varies studies conducted in the area by other agencies
3	10P14a2	10	11	iron are the	of total dissolved salts,	
4	11M52c	10	11	major issues	less incidence of turbulence	
5	11M54a1	10	11	observed	tarbaronee	
6	11M54a2	9	10			

^{*} from column no. 2, total no. of villages implementing the programme, from column no. 3, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire project.

VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2	3	3	4			
S.	Name of the Crop	Current	status	Expected post project status			
No.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)		
1	Paddy	395.10	2220	425	2250		
2	Coconut	1000	7167 nos./ha	1250	7200		
3	Rubber	1872.71	1576	2000	1650		

^{*} From column no. 2, total no. of crops; from columns no. 3 & 4, total cropped area, average productivity, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

VIII. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION

"It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"

"	It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"
1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous
	bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA
	and DRDA Cell
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman
17	The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF
21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary
	documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate
	negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.
Data	

Date:

Signature of officer authorized by State Govt.*
NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETTERS)
DESIGNATION

*Letter of Authority from Secretary of the concerned Department, authorizing the concerned officer to sign the above undertaking, should be enclosed with PPR.

IX. STATUS OF ON-GOING PROJECTS (DPAP/ DDP/ IWDP)

Table- PPR 19: Details of pending UCs: Statewise*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	}		9	10	-	11
SI.	District	Project	Instal ment	Financial year of	Amount released	Amoun t utilized	Submission	on of UC		ate of sion of UC	Reasons for not submitting	Pendi	ng UCs
No.	District	rroject	no.	release of fund	(Rs. in lakh)	(Rs.in lakhs)	Due date	Amoun t (Rs. in lakhs)	Date	Amount (Rs. in lakhs)	/ delayed submission of UC	Period	Amount (Rs. in lakhs)
1	Pathana mthitta	PTA 1											
2		PTA 2											
3		PTA 3											

^{*}From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, total amount released, from column No. 7, total amount utilized, from column No. 8, total amount due, from column no. 9, total amount for which UCs submitted, from column No. 11, total amount of the pending UCs, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State.

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on 31.03.2014: Districtwise*

1	2	3	4	5		6
S.	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)	Total funds (Rs. in		Unspent balance (Rs. in lakhs)
No.		Project		DoLR	State	(RS. III IAKIIS)
1	Pathanamthitta	PTA 1	107	43.44	3.95	2.0
2		PTA 2	126	51.95	4.73	1.27
3		PTA 3	223	90.89	8.27	49.48

^{*}From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no.4 to 6, totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State

2	No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others
۷	No. of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWIVII		1
3	Total area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)		
	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]		
	(b) Others	3890.	00
	(c) Total	3890.	00
4	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)		
	(a) Hilly & Desert areas [#]		
	(b) Others	466.8	30
	(c) Total	466.8	30
5	First installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	93.3	6
For details	refer Appendix-I		

Date of meeting of PPR sanctioning Committee :

Decision taken by the Committee :

Date of receipt of Annual Action Plan :

Brief details of Annual Action Plan :

Final approval of projects/area/costs/project period :

Amount released as first installment and date of release :

File No. :

