Integrated Watershed Management Programme

Preliminary Project Report (PPR)

PALAKKAD

Department of Land Resources, Ministry of Rural Development, Government of India

1

IWMP-PPR-2014-15 - Kerala-DoLR

Preliminary Project Report

- I. Institutional Structures.
 - A. State Level Nodal Agencies
 - B. District Level Watershed Units
- II. Selection of Watershed Projects
- III. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- IV. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- V. Demography & land distribution
- VI. Livelihoods
- VII. Expected project out comes
- VIII. Mandatory certificates
- IX. Status of on-going projects
- X. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

I. Institutional Structures I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs): Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
S. No.	State	Type of SLNA [#]	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
	Kerala	Mission	14 June 2010		Twenty five

[#]Whether it is a Department/ Mission/ Society/ Authority/ Others (pl. specify) Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

	7				8		
Chair	oerson				CEO		
Name	Designation [#]	Name	Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment ^{\$}	Tenure (No. of years)	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E-mail
Subrata Biswas IAS	Agriculture Production Commissioner	K.V. Mohankumar IAS	Commissioner for Rural Development	14 June 2010			
Dr. Rajan Khobragade IAS	Secretary, LSGD						

[#] APC/ ACS/ Dev. Commissioner/ Others (pl. specify) ^{\$} Deputation/ Contract

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		ç)
SI. No	Total no of Persons working in the SLNA of IWMP	Name & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work Allocation	Monthly remuneration	Total budge	t of SLNA	Funding I from Do	
							R	NR	R	NR
1	8	K.Shoukathali, Administrative Officer	MBA	25 Years	Administration & Co-ordination	Rs.65000/-	885000	3000000	885000	3000000
2	-	M.Jayasree, Technical Expert (Agri)	MSc Agriculture	25 Years	DPR,Agri & Soil, GIS	Rs.65000/-	875000		875000	
3		P.Balachandran Nair,Technical Expert (livelihhod)	MA	12 Years	Livelihood activities & Capacity Building	Rs.47000/-	636000		636000	
4		Kabeer.H, Finance Officer	Mcom	25 Years	Finance & Accounting	Rs.40000/-	540000	-	540000	
5		Dinil.R, Accounts Asst	MA	15 Years	Funds, Accounting, Establishment	Rs.30000/-	405000		405000	
6		Sindhu.D.S, Accounts Asst	BSc	15 Years	Scheme, IWDP,Audit	Rs.28000/-	379000		379000	
7		Karthiyani Devi.A.J, Programmer	Btech Computer Science	05 Yeas	Programming, MIS	Rs.25000/-	300000		300000	
8		Jisha.C.C, Data Entry Operator	Bcom with PGDCA	05 Years	Data Entry	Rs.10000/-	120000		120000	
							4140000	3000000	4140000	3000000

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	}		9
No	Total no. of persons working in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total bu SLDC (Rs		Fundir expect DoLR (ed from
	SLDC TOF TWIVIF						R	NR	R	NR
1		Technical expert, (Agriculture/ Agriculture Engineering)	PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2		Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro enterprises /livelihood)	B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3	_ ع	Administrative Officer	PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N in e	Finance cum Accounts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5		Accounts assistants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert	B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry operator	Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer	Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam				-
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha	1			1
5	Kottayam	1			
6	ldukki				-
7	Ernakulam	Respective District	President, Respective		Three each in all districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayats	District Panchayat		the State
9	Palakkad				-
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayaand				
13	Kannur				-
14	Kasaragpd				

	7	8	9	10	11		12	1:	3
No	No Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total budget of Watershed Cell (Rs.)		Funding expected from DoLR (Rs.)	
						R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
					595000				

	able-PPR 5: Status of D					<u>a progra</u>		4010 111(0	· /=/								
1	2		3 4							5							
					Micro-	watershe	ds covered so	far									
	Names of District	Names of District				Tota	Total micro-		ot. of Land	Other	Ministries/			Net watershe			
c			watersh	eds in the	R	esources	[Depts.	Total w	atersheds							
S.			Names of District	of District District		Pre-IWMP projects		Any other		covered		to be covered					
No.				(DPAP +DDP +IWDP)		watershed project											
		No	Area		Area (ha.)	No.	Area (ha.)	No.	Area	No	Area						
		No.	(ha.)	No.	Area (IId.)	INO.	Area (Ha.)	NO.	(ha.)	No.	(ha.)						
1	Palakkad	411	444242	2	2298	105	91504	106	94687	154	135337						
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	145981						

Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2014-15*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7								8						
			No. of micro		Type of						We	ight	tage	under	the	criter	ia#		-	
SI. No.	District	the project	watersheds proposed to be covered	project	project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	Proposed cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Palakkad	Palakkad- IWMP-IX- 2014-15	9	5879	Hilly	881.85	7.5	5	0	10	3	0	15	7.5	15	10	10	0	15	98

* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects selected for sanction, from column no. 4, total no. of microwatersheds to be covered, from column no. 5, total project area proposed, from column no. 7, total cost proposed, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

SI.	Criteria	Maximum		Ranges & scores		
No.		score		5		1
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20% (2.5
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
V	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP Block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/ DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered (0
іх	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low- less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
х	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	
	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	

III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

Table – PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State	Kerala	
2	Name & type [#] (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP 9 C) Hilly
3	Name of the District	Palakkad	
4	Names of the Blocks	Attapady	
5	Names of Grama Panchayats	Puthur	
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Puthur	67610
		Mulakombu	22B30I
		Jalimedu thodu	22B31a
		Melechavadiyar pallam	22B32a
		Chalayur	22B33a
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Karattur	22B34a
		Chathannur Konnam pallam	22B35a
		Thazhe Mulli	22B36a
		Mele Mulli	22B36b
		Kuvara pallam	22B36c
		Heavy soil erosion & land degra	dation
8	Four major reasons for selection of watershed	Water scarcity and insufficient i	5 5
-		Strong presence of SC/ST, BPL f	
		Poor adaptation to climate char	nge

9	Area of the Project (ha.)	5879.14
10	Area proposed to be treated (ha.)	5879.00
11	Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)	881.85
12	Name and Address of proposed PIA	Attapady Block Panchayat
13	Any other (please specify)	

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year*

(There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala)

Table-PPR 9: Land Use pattern of the project*

(Area in ha)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
S.	Code of		Geographical	Forest	Land under	Rainfed	Permanent	Wast	eland
No.	watersheds	Names of villages	Area of the Watersheds	Area	agricultural use	area	pastures	Cultivable	Non- cultivable
1	22B30I	Puthur	976.75	804.85	171.90	976.75	-	38.30	23.83
2	22B31a	Puthur	321.53	146.65	174.88	321.53	-	41.05	-
3	22B32a	Puthur	610.82	485.78	125.04	610.82	-	-	-
4	22B33a	Puthur	1250.54	996.75	253.79	1250.54	-	-	14.45
5	22B34a	Puthur	964.69	683.81	280.88	964.69	-	-	60.52
6	22B35a	Puthur	414.58	339.69	74.89	414.58	-	-	47.66
7	22B36a	Puthur	457.68	390.69	66.99	457.68	-	-	-
8	22B36b	Puthur	675.72	501.26	174.46	675.72	-	-	-
9	22B36c	Puthur	206.83	199.23	7.60	206.83	-	-	-
			5879.14	4548.71	1330.43	5879.14	-	79.35	146.46

Source of data:Land Use Board

* From column no. 2, total no. of microwatersheds, from column no. 3, total no. of villages, from column no. 4 to 9, totals, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

1	2	3	5	7	8
SI. No.	Name of the Watershed	Name of the Agro-climatic zone covers project area	Names of the villages	Topography#	Average rainfall in mm
1	22B30I	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
2	22B31a	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
3	22B32a	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
4	22B33a	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
5	22B34a	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	2472 mm
6	22B35a	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
7	22B36a	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
8	22B36b	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
9	22B36c	Highranges	Puthur	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	

* From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

Flat, undulating, moderate slope, Steep slope

1	2	6 Major soil types					9				
SI.	Code of the							Major crops			
No.	watershed	K25	K26	K28		Total	Paddy	Mixed	Forest		Total
1	22B30I	15.85	640.21	197.58	0	853.64		66.62	804.85	0	871.47
2	22B31a	104.35	59.59	157.59		321.53	12.23	162.65	146.65		321.53
3	22B32a	20.65	472.16	116.66		609.47	30.07	80.53	485.78		596.38
4	22B33a	58.04	1025.82	144.86		1228.72	33.63	104.28	996.75		1134.66
5	22B34a		641.94	300.52		942.46	31.04	248.88	683.81		963.73
6	22B35a		411.52			411.52		74.78	339.69		414.47
7	22B36a		442.93			442.93		67.36	390.69		458.05
8	22B36b	73.93	601.79			675.72		171.07	501.26		672.33
9	22B36c	78.02	128.81			206.83			199.23		199.23
		350.84	4424.77	917.21	0	5692.82	106.97	976.17	4548.71	0	5631.85

Source of data: Land Use Board

*From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

1	2	3		4	5
SI.					
No.	Particulars	Villages	Annual	Any other	Not affected
NO.			Annual	(please specify)	
1	Flood	No. of villages			
		Name(s) of villages			
2	Drought	No. of villages		1	
		Name(s) of villages		Puthur	

Table-PPR 11: Details of flood and drought in the project area*

* From column nos. 4 & 5, total no. of villages, category wise, for the project may be given at the end of the table.

Table-PPR 12 : Details of soil erosion in the project area

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year)
Water erosion				
а	Severe			
b	Moderate	4424.77		
С	Slight	1268.05		
Sub-Total		5692.82		
Wind erosion		0	NA	
Total		5692.82		

V. DEMOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRIBUTION

Growth in population during the last three census', per capita availability of land, sex ratio, population age group in the project area, literacy level, migration, workforce available in different sectors of the economy, demography of SC, ST, BPL and landless families in the project area in the last ten years, etc.

No		Watershed name	Area (in Ha)	Total families	T + 1	Population	о т	BPL Families	Land holding/ Family (in
	00				Total	SC	ST		Ha)
1		B30I	976.75	89	343	13	209	187	0.26
2	22	B31a	321.53	29	103	4	69	61	0.26
3	22	B32a	610.82	56	196	9	131	117	0.26
4	22	B33a	1250.54	115	401	18	267	239	0.26
5	22	B34a	964.69	88	309	13	206	185	0.26
6	22	B35a	414.58	38	133	6	84	79	0.26
7	22	B36a	457.68	42	147	6	98	88	0.26
8	22	B36b	675.72	62	217	9	145	162	0.26
9	22	B36c	206.83	19	66	3	44	39	0.26
		Total	5879.14	538	1915	81	1253	1157	
Gro	owth	in population during the la	ist three census	11					
	No	Watershed name	1991	2001	2011]			
	1	22B30I	29	9 327	343				
	2	22B31a	9	98	103				
	3	22B32a	17	1 187	196				
	4	22B33a	34	.9 382	401	1			
	5	22B34a	26	9 295	309				
	6	22B35a	11	6 127	133	1			
	7	22B36a	12		147	1			
	8	22B36b	18		217	1			
	9	22B36c		63	66	1			
		Total	166			-			

VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaption to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives.

Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods

No	Name of Watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood interventions under the project	Current status of migration (no. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	22B30I	 Employment in construction 	Animal husbandry with strong	34	
2	22B31a	sector, wage labour in semi	forward and backward linkage and supporting infrastructure and	10	Lack of job opportunities in
3	22B32a	trading etc. are the major livelihood of the poor people	initiatives at the homestead is the main possibility. high yield cows which can be milked in tandem, scientifically constructed cowshed and biogas tank, grass cultivation, training to the concerned, ensuring the availability of milking machines, soft finance, hand holding for the first few years, providing functional insurance etc, are essential for the success of the programme. Food	19	agriculture sector due to low productivity and poor income from land. This is inducing the
4	22B33a	government and large private		40	farmer to fallow the land and search for better alternatives. As more and more people
5	22B34a	the soul income anymore. Agricultural labour is part of the		30	move to urban areas seeking employment, whatever rural
6	22B35a	employment of the poor. For the poor families another major chunk is the income from		13	economic activities remain gets weakened and faces a gradual demise. Only the
7	8 22B36b	MNREGS. Lower income people also attempt animal husbandry with mixed results. More often the cash income from such activities is not sufficient due to a number of factors	processing at household level using locally available banana,	14	people with ensured income or people who are unable to move remain in the villages.
8			jack fruit, mango etc. is another possibility. Rearing of backyard chicken, quail, rabbit etc. can b explored.	21	i move remain in the villages.
9				6	

VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES

VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

					V	Vage em	ploym	ent					S	elf emplo	oyment		
No	Watershed name	No. of mandays in '00 s					Ν	o. of bene	eficiaries		No. of beneficiaries						
	namo	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	
1	22B30I	8	126	50	52	236	8	126	50	52	236	5	76	8	16	104	340
2	22B31a	3	41	16	17	78	3	41	16	17	78	2	25	4	14	44	122
3	22B32a	5	79	31	33	148	5	79	31	33	148	3	47	8	26	84	232
4	22B33a	7	161	64	66	298	7	161	64	66	298	4	96	16	53	170	468
5	22B34a	6	124	49	51	230	6	124	49	51	230	3	75	12	41	131	361
6	22B35a	2	53	21	22	99	2	53	21	22	99	1	32	5	18	56	155
7	22B36a	3	59	23	24	110	3	59	23	24	110	2	35	6	20	63	172
8	22B36b	4	87	35	36	162	4	87	35	36	162	2	52	9	29	92	254
9	22B36c	1	26	11	11	49	1	26	11	11	49	1	16	3	9	28	77
	Total	40	757	300	313	1410	40	757	300	313	1410	24	454	70	224	773	2183

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages, from column no. 3 & 4, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the project.

No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reasons for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	22B30I	34 3740	26		
2	22B31a	10	8		
3	22B32a	19	2090	Lack of opportunities in the agriculture	14
4	22B33a	40 4400 and allied sectors. Low productivity and poor income from land. Rural economic		30	
5	22B34a	30	3300	activities getting weakened. Weak infrastructure and support services to	23
6	22B35a	13	1430	agriculture. Better livelihoods. Changing life syles	10
7	22B36a	14	1540		11
8	22B36b	21	2310		16
9	22B36c	6	660		5

Table-PPR 15: Details of migration from Project area

* From column no. 2, total no. of villages; from column no. 3, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 4, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 6, total expected reduction on no. of persons migrating, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post- project level	Remarks
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	
1	22B30I	Bore wells	45	42	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	
2	22B31a	Bore wells	45	42	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	
Ì		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	This increase will
3	22B32a	Bore wells	45	42	substantially improve the
		Others - Ponds	6	5	drinking water availability,
4		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	reduce the drudgery for
	22B33a	Bore wells	45	42	fetching water . But
		Others - Ponds	6	5	substantial steps to
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	improve water and
5	22B34a	Bore wells	45	42	 irrigation efficiency through the application of
		Others - Ponds	6	5	modern and traditional
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	technologies is essential.
6	22B35a	Bore wells	45	42	There must be
		Others - Ponds	6	5	simultaneous initiatives to
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	reduce the contamination
7	22B36a	Bore wells	45	42	of surface water and
		Others - Ponds	6	5	ground water.
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	
8	22B36b	Bore wells	45	42	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	
		Open wells	8 - 9	7 - 8	
9	22B36c	Bore wells	45	42	
		Others - Ponds	6	5	

Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water*

1	2		3		4	5
S.	Codes of the		of drinking water onths in a year)	Quality o	of drinking water	Comments
No.	watersheds	Pre-project	Expected Post- project	Pre-project	Expected Post-project	
1	22B30I	8	9			
2	22B31a	8	9	_	Reduced concentration of	The issues listed are
3	22B32a	8	9	Turbulence, Turbulence, Cull	total dissolved salts, less	culled from varies studies
4	22B33a	8	9		conducted in the area by other	
5	22B34a	8	9	are the major	better bacteriological	agencies. There is also a
6	22B35a	8	9	issues observed.	quality etc. are the major	variation in quality issues
7	22B36a	8	9		expected post project	during different seasons.
8	22B36b	8	9		benefits	
9	22B36c	8	9	1		

* from column no. 2, total no. of villages implementing the programme, from column no. 3, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire project.

VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2		3	4		
S.	Name of the Crop	Curr	ent status	Expected post project status		
No.		Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	
1	Paddy	106.97	2493	125	2500	
2	Coconut	970.17	7146 nos/ha	1000	7500 nos/ha	

* From column no. 2, total no. of crops; from columns no. 3 & 4, total cropped area, average productivity, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

V	III. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION
1	It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"
1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous
	bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA
	and DRDA Cell
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman
17	The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being
	undertaken
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF
21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary
	documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate
	negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.
te:	Signature of officer authorized by State Go NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETT DESIGNAT
*Le	tter of Authority from Secretary of the concerned Department, authorizing the concerned officer to sign the above undertaking, should be enclosed with PPR.

				ECTS (DPAP/ I ng UCs: Statev	•								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	3		9	10	1	11
SI.	District	Projec t	Instal ment	Financial year of	Amount released (Rs. in lakh)	Amoun t utilized (Rs.in lakhs)	Submission of UC su			ate of ssion of UC	Reasons for not submitting	Pending UCs	
No.			no.	release of fund			Due date	Amoun t (Rs. in lakhs)	Date	Amount (Rs. in lakhs)	/ delayed submission of UC	Period	Amount (Rs. in Iakhs)
1	Palakkad	PKD 1					1					 	
2]	PKD 2											

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, total amount released, from column No. 7, total amount utilized, from column No. 8, total amount due, from column no. 9, total amount for which UCs submitted, from column No. 11, total amount of the pending UCs, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State.

Table- PPR 20: Details of Unspent balance as on 31.03.2014: Districtwise*

1	2	3	4	5		6	
S. No.	District	Name of the Project	Total cost (Rs. in lakh)	Total funds (Rs. in		Unspent balance (Rs. in lakhs)	
NO.		Project		DoLR	State	(KS. 111 14K1 15)	
1	Palakkad	PKD 1	300	200.99	18.52	0.6	
2		PKD 2	147	59.89	5.53	0.63	

*From column No. 2, total no. of Districts, from column No. 3, total no. of projects, from column no.4 to 6, totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire State

1	Number of districts to be covered under the present proposal						
2	No. o	of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others			
3	Total	area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)					
	(a)	Hilly & Desert areas [#]	5879.	00			
	(b)	Others					
	(c)	Total	5879.	00			
4	Total cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)						
	(a)	Hilly & Desert areas [#]	881.8	35			
	(b)	Others					
	(c)	Total	881.8	35			
5	First	installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	176.3	37			
r detail	ls refer App	endix-l					

Date of meeting of PPR sanctioning Committee
Decision taken by the Committee
Date of receipt of Annual Action Plan
Brief details of Annual Action Plan
Final approval of projects/area/costs/project period
Amount released as first installment and date of release
File No.

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

