

# **Preliminary Project Report**

- I. Institutional Structures.
  - A. State Level Nodal Agencies
  - B. District Level Watershed Units
- II. Selection of Watershed Projects
- III. Profile of the each selected watershed project
- IV. Agro-climatic condition of project area.
- V. Demography & land distribution
- VI. Livelihoods
- VII. Expected project out comes
- VIII. Mandatory certificates
- IX. Status of on-going projects
- X. Abstract of projects proposed for sanction

#### I. Institutional Structures I. A. State Level Nodal Agencies (SLNAs):

Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA

1	2	3	4	5	6
S. No.	State	Type of SLNA <sup>#</sup>	Date of Notification	Date of MoU with DoLR	Total no. of members of SLNA
	Kerala	Mission	14 June 2010		Twenty five

<sup>#</sup>Whether it is a Department/ Mission/ Society/ Authority/ Others (pl. specify) Table-PPR 1: Details of SLNA (Contd..)

	7				8		
Chairp	person				CEO		
Name	Designation <sup>#</sup>	Name	Designation	Date of Appointment	Nature of appointment <sup>\$</sup>	Tenure (No. of years)	Contact Ph. No./ Fax/ E-mail
Subrata Biswas IAS	Agriculture Production Commissioner	K.V. Mohankumar IAS	Commissioner for Rural Development	14 June 2010			
Dr. Rajan Khobragade IAS	Secretary, LSGD						

<sup>#</sup> APC/ ACS/ Dev. Commissioner/ Others (pl. specify) <sup>\$</sup> Deputation/ Contract

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		ç	)			
SI. No	Total no of Persons working in the SLNA of IWMP	Name & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work Allocation	Monthly remuneration	Total budge	t of SLNA	Funding I from Do				
							R	NR	R	NR			
1	8	K.Shoukathali, Administrative Officer	MBA	25 Years	Administration & Co-ordination	Rs.65000/-	885000	3000000	885000	3000000			
2	-	M.Jayasree, Technical Expert (Agri)	MSc Agriculture	25 Years	DPR,Agri & Soil, GIS	Rs.65000/-	875000		875000				
3		P.Balachandran Nair,Technical Expert (livelihhod)	MA	12 Years	Livelihood activities & Capacity Building	Rs.47000/-	636000					636000	
4		Kabeer.H, Finance Officer	Mcom	25 Years	Finance & Accounting	Rs.40000/-	540000	-	540000				
5		Dinil.R, Accounts Asst	MA	15 Years	Funds, Accounting, Establishment	Rs.30000/-	405000		405000				
6		Sindhu.D.S, Accounts Asst	BSc	15 Years	Scheme, IWDP,Audit	Rs.28000/-	379000		379000				
7		Karthiyani Devi.A.J, Programmer	Btech Computer Science	05 Yeas	Programming, MIS	Rs.25000/-	300000		300000				
8		Jisha.C.C, Data Entry Operator	Bcom with PGDCA	05 Years	Data Entry	Rs.10000/-	120000		120000				
							4140000	3000000	4140000	3000000			

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	}		9
No	Total no. of persons working in the SLDC for IWMP	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Total bu SLDC (Rs		Fundir expect DoLR (	ed from
	SLDC TOF TWIVIF						R	NR	R	NR
1		Technical expert, (Agriculture/ Agriculture Engineering)	PG/Ph.D in the related Field	10 years		50000				
2		Technical expert, (IT/Livelihood,micro enterprises /livelihood)	B Tech. Computer Science / MCA	10 years		50000				
3	_ ع	Administrative Officer	PG in Administration / Management	10 years		40000				
4	N in e	Finance cum Accounts Officer	PG in FM / Accounts / CA	10 years		35000				
5		Accounts assistants - 2 numbers	Graduation in Accounts / Commerce / Economics	5 years		25000				
6		GIS expert	B Tech / M Sc / M Tech in related field	5 years		40000				
7		Data entry operator	Graduate+Certi ficate in DTP	5 years		15000				
8		Programmer	Diploma/Certifi cate in related fields	5 years		25000				

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Name of the District	Name of the executing Agency	Status of Chairman	Date of signing of MoU with SLNA	Total no. of persons working for Watershed programme
1	Thiruvananthapuram				
2	Kollam				-
3	Pathanamthitta				
4	Alapuzha	1			1
5	Kottayam	1			
6	ldukki				-
7	Ernakulam	Respective District	President, Respective		Three each in all districts, 52 persons in
8	Thrissur	Panchayats	District Panchayat		the State
9	Palakkad				-
10	Malappuram				
11	Kozhikkode				
12	Wayaand				
13	Kannur				-
14	Kasaragpd				

	7	8	9	10	11		12	13	
No	Names & Designation	Qualification	Experience	Work allocation	Monthly remuneration (Rs.)	Waters	Total budget of Watershed Cell (Rs.)		expected m (Rs.)
						R	NR	R	NR
1	Technical expert	Graduation in the related field	5 years		25000				
2	Accountant	Graduation in the related field	3 years		10000				
3	Data entry operator	Diploma / certificate in the related field	3 years		7500				
					595000				

				i cu unut	er the watershe	<u>a progra</u>							
1	2		3					5					
					Micro-	watershe	ds covered so	far					
		Total micro-		Dept. of Land		Other Ministries/				Net watershee			
c		watersh	eds in the	Resources Depts. Total watersheds		Resources Depts. Total watersheds		Resources Depts. Total watersheds		Depts. Total watersheds			
S.	Names of District	District		Pre-IWMP projects		Any other		covered		to be covered			
No.				(DPAP	+DDP +IWDP)	waters	hed project						
		No.	Area		Area (ba)	No.	Area (ba)	No.	Area	No.	Area		
		NO.	(ha.)	No.	Area (ha.)	INO.	Area (ha.)	NO.	(ha.)	INO.	(ha.)		
1	Kottayam	217	219498	3	0	82	112845	85	112845	113	97984		
	State	4529	3874535	29	19345	955	1018761	983	1038991	2067	145981		

#### Table-PPR 6: Prioritized list of projects proposed for sanction during the financial year 2014-15\*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7								8						
			No. of micro		Type of						Wei	ghta	age u	Inder	r the	crite	ria#			
SI No	LUISTRICT	Name of the project	watersheds proposed to be covered	project	project (Hilly/ Desert/ Others)	Proposed cost (Rs. in lakh)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1	Kottayam	Kottayam- IWMP-V- 2014-15	7	3680	Hilly	552.00	7.5	3	0	10	2	0	15	5	15	10	10	0	15	92.5

\* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects selected for sanction, from column no. 4, total no. of microwatersheds to be covered, from column no. 5, total project area proposed, from column no. 7, total cost proposed, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

SI. No.	Criteria	Maximum score		Ranges & so	cores	
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
۷	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP Block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/ DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered (0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low- less than 10 % of TGA (5)	
х	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro- watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	
	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)		Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	

### III) PROJECT WISE PROFILE OF THE SELECTED WATERSHED PROJECT

## Table – PPR 7: Project at a Glance

1	Name of the State	Kerala		
2	Name & type <sup>#</sup> (Hilly/ Desert/ Others) of the project	IWMP 5	0	Hilly
3	Name of the District	Kottayam		
		Lalam		
4	Names of the Blocks	Uzhavoor		
		Pampady		
		Karoor		
		Meenachil		
5	Names of Grama Panchayats	Mutholy		
Ū	Names of Grama Fanchayats	Ramapuram		
		Uzhavoor		
		Elikkulam		
		Uzhavoor	628115	
		Vallachira	628126	
		Vellilappally	628116	
6	Names & Census Code of Villages covered	Lalam	628125	
-	Names & Census Code of Milayes covered	Puliyannoor	628132	
		Meenachil	628133	
		Poovarani	628134	
		Elikulam	628198	
7	Names & Codes of the micro-watersheds	Chakkampuzha	12M20a	1
		Nechipuzha	12M20a	2

		Puliyannur	12M20a3
		Pooveli Vallachira	12M20a4
		Piyappara thodu	12M21a
		Meenachil	12M32a
		Parappil thodu	12M33a1
		Paika thodu	12M33a2
		Low productivity of land	
Four	major reasons for selection of watershed	Water scarcity and insuffi	cient irrigation system
roui	major reasons for selection of water shed	Strong presence of SC/ST	, BPL families and marginal farmers
		Poor adaptation to climat	e change
Area	of the Project (ha.)		5177.89
) Area	proposed to be treated (ha.)		3680.00
l Proje	ct Cost (Rs. in Lakhs)		552.00
2 Name	e and Address of proposed PIA	Lala	m Block Panchayat
<sup>3</sup> Any c	ther (please specify)		

Table-PPR 8: Details of previously identified DPAP/ DDP areas proposed under IWMP (ha) during the financial year\*

(There are no DPAP/DDP blocks identified in Kerala)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9
No	Name of Watersheds	Name & Census code of Villages	Geographical Area of the	Forest Area	Land under agricultural	Rain fed area	Permanent pastures	Was Cultivable	teland Non-
1	12M20a1	Uzhavoor, Vallichira, Vellialappally	Watershed 582.58	-	use 582.58	582.58	-	-	cultivable -
2	12M20a2	Lalam,Vallichira	433.85	-	433.85	433.85	-	20.01	-
3	12M20a3	Puliyannur, Vallichira	493.02	-	493.02	493.02	-	10.18	-
4	12M20a4	Vallichira	536.87	-	536.87	536.87	-	7.26	-
5	12M21a	Lalam, Vallichira	282.14	-	282.14	282.14	-	-	-
6	12M32a	Meenachil, Poovarani	264.08	-	264.08	264.08	-	7.18	-
7	12M33a1	Meenachil, Poovarani	311.90	-	311.90	311.90	-	0.12	-
8	12M33a2	Elikkulam, Poovarani	775.63	-	775.63	775.63	-		-
	Total		3680.07		3680.07	3680.07		44.75	

Source of data: Land Use Board

\* From column no. 2, total no. of districts, from column no. 3, total no. of projects proposed, from column no. 4, total no. of blocks, from column no. 6 to 12, totals, may be indicated for the entire State at the end of the table.

1	2	3	4	5	6
١o	Name of Watersheds	Name of Agro- ecological zone covers project area	Name & Census code of Villages	Topography	Average rainfal in mm
	12M20a1	Malayoram	Uzhavoor, Vallichira, Vellialappally	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
	12M20a2	Malayoram	Lalam, Vallichira	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
	12M20a3	Malayoram	Puliyannur, Vallichira	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
	12M20a4	Malayoram	Vallichira	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	3208
	12M21a	Malayoram	Lalam, Vallichira	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	- 5200
	12M32a	Malayoram	Meenachil, Poovarani	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
	12M33a1	Malayoram	Meenachil, Poovarani	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	
	12M33a2	Malayoram	Elikkulam, Poovarani	Narrow valleys, Hills with steep gradients, Steep slopes	

Table – PPR 10 a: Details of soil types and major crops\*

1	2			3				4		
No	Name of Watersheds		Major S	Soil types				Major o	crops	
NO		K08	K09	K11	Total	Paddy	Coconut	Mixed Crop	Rubber	Total
1	12M20a1	1.37		581.18	582.55		238.54	155.68	120.75	514.97
2	12M20a2			433.88	433.88		200.29	108.52	56.24	365.05
3	12M20a3	214.05		278.96	493.01	17.57	252.6	78.34	28.81	377.32
4	12M20a4	9.54		527.28	536.82	11.16	261.69	104.2	70.81	447.86
5	12M21a			282.14	282.14	3.75	84.01	123.34	31.18	242.28
6	12M32a			264.08	264.08	6.6	53.59	88.01	115.2	263.4
7	12M33a1		6.28	305.61	311.89		78.51	105.47	93.67	277.65
8	12M33a2		461.6	314.03	775.63		54.51	254.29	381.58	690.38
	Total	224.96	467.88	2987.16	3680.00	39.08	1223.74	1017.85	898.24	3178.91

Source of data: Land Use Board

\* From column no. 5, total no. of villages, from column no. 6, total area, from column no. 9, total no. of crops and total cropped area, may be indicated for the project at the end of the table.

# Flat, undulating, moderate slope, Steep slope

Particulars Villages	Periodic			
Particulars Villages				
	Annual Any o	other (please specify)	Not affected	
No. of villages				
Name(s) of villages				
No. of villages		6		
rought Name(s) of villages	Vellia	zhavoor, Vallichira, alappally, Meenachil, ovarani, Elikkulam		
nos. 4 & 5, total no. of villages, category wise, for the project r Details of soil erosion in the project area	nay be given at the end of the	table.		
1 2 3				
Cause Types of erosion Area affected (ha)	) Run off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (To	ones/ ha/year)	
erosion				
a Severe O				
b Moderate 3680.07				
c Slight O				
Sub Total 3680.07	0	0		
erosion				
b Modera c Slight	ate 3680.07 t 0	ate 3680.07 t 0	ate 3680.07 t 0	

V. DEM	IOGRAPHY AND LAND DISTRI	BUTION						
Growth	n in population during the last	three census', per	capita availab	ility of land, se	ex ratio, popula	ation age grou	up in the projec	t area, literacy level, mig
workfo	rce available in different secto	ors of the economy	, demography	of SC, ST, BPL	and landless f	amilies in the	project area in	the last ten years, etc.
No	Watershed name	Area (in Ha)	Total		Population			Land holding/ Family
NO		Alea (IITTa)	families	Total	SC	ST	Families	(in Ha)
1	12M20a1	582.58	822	3306	203	14	510	0.77
2	12M20a2	433.85	647	2673	242	14	401	0.46
3	12M20a3	493.02	911	3774	261	14	565	0.97
4	12M20a4	536.87	802	3313	301	17	497	0.96
5	12M21a	282.14	476	1762	158	9	295	0.76
6	12M32a	264.08	923	3846	166	14	572	1.38
7	12M33a1	311.90	481	2003	93	7	298	0.77
8	12M33a2	775.63	1105	4605	211	18	685	1.41
	Total	3680.07	6167	25282	1635	107	3824	

Growth in population during the last three census

No	Watershed name	1991	2001	2011
1	12M20a1	2881	3153	3306
2	12M20a2	2329	2549	2673
3	12M20a3	3289	3599	3774
4	12M20a4	2887	3159	3313
5	12M21a	1536	1680	1762
6	12M32a	3352	3668	3846
7	12M33a1	1746	1910	2003
8	12M33a2	4013	4392	4605
	Total	22033	24110	25282

#### VI. LIVELIHOODS

The existing scenario and the opportunities are described in the tables. One more word about capacity building activities. There is tremendous scope of these activities can be organized in the field level in a participatory manner. Of extreme importance is adaption to climate change. How an effective strategy can be developed is the very question of survival. Then comes the hands on exposure to modern agriculture practices, technologies and machines. Trainings should be imparted in such a manner that new social institutions can be created at grass root level to sustain these initiatives. **Table-PPR 13 Summary of livelihoods** 

No	Name of Watersheds	Existing livelihood activities	Possible livelihood interventions under the project	Current status of migration (no. of people)	Main reasons for migration
1	12M20a1	Employment in construction	Animal husbandry with strong	99	
2	12M20a2	<ul> <li>sector, wage labour in semi skilled and unskilled activities, trading etc. are the major livelihood of the</li> </ul>	ed activities, trading major livelihood of the e now. Middle and are employed in or, government and e enterprises.supporting infrastructure and initiatives at the homestead is the main possibility. high yield cows which can be milked in tandem, scientifically constructed cowshed and biogas tank, grass cultivation, training to the concerned, ensuring the availability of milking machines, soft finance, hand holding for the first few years, providing functional insurance etc, 	80	Lack of job opportunities in agriculture sector due to low productivity and poor income
3	12M20a3	<ul> <li>poor people now. Middle and upper class are employed in</li> <li>service sector, government and large private enterprises.</li> <li>Agriculture is not the soul income anymore. Agricultural labour is part of the employment of the poor. For the poor families</li> <li>another major chunk is the income from MNREGS. Lower income people also attempt animal husbandry with mixed results. More often the cash income from such activities is not</li> </ul>		113	from land. This is inducing the farmer to fallow the land and search for better alternatives. As more and more people move to urban areas seeking employment, whatever rural economic activities remain gets weakened and faces a gradual demise. Only the people with ensured income or people who are unable to move remain in the villages
4	12M20a4			99	
5	12M21a			53	
6	12M32a			115	
7	12M33a1			60	
8	12M33a2	sufficient due to a number of factors		138	

#### VII. EXPECTED PROJECT OUTCOMES VII. (i). Expected employment related outcomes:

#### Table-PPR 14: Employment generation

					V	Vage em	ploym	ent					ç	Self emplo	oyment		
No	Watershed name		No	. of mand	ays in '00 s	5		N	o. of ben	eficiaries			No. of beneficiaries				
		SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	
1	12M20a1	122	8	523	551	1205	122	8	523	551	1205	73	5	82	167	327	1531
2	12M20a2	145	8	425	444	1022	145	8	425	444	1022	87	5	106	355	553	1576
3	12M20a3	157	8	599	627	1391	157	8	599	627	1391	94	5	150	502	751	2142
4	12M20a4	120	10	527	550	1207	120	10	527	550	1207	72	6	132	440	650	1857
5	12M21a	63	5	280	292	641	63	5	280	292	641	38	3	70	234	345	986
6	12M32a	26	4	246	260	536	26	4	246	260	536	16	2	62	208	288	824
7	12M33a1	37	4	316	334	692	37	4	316	334	692	22	3	79	267	371	1064
8	12M33a2	84	10	727	770	1591	84	10	727	770	1591	50	6	182	616	854	2446
		754	59	3644	3829	8286	754	59	3644	3829	8286	453	35	862	2789	4139	12426

\* From column no. 2, total no. of villages, from column no. 3 & 4, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the project.

able-PP	R 15: Details of migration	on from Project area			
No	Names of the watersheds	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reasons for migrating	Expected reduction in no. of persons migrating
1	12M20a1	99	10910		84
2	12M20a2	80	8821	Lack of opportunities in the	68
3	12M20a3	113	12454	agriculture and allied sectors. Low productivity	96
4	12M20a4	99	10933	and poor income from land. Rural economic activities	84
5	12M21a	53	5815	getting weakened. Weak	45
6	12M32a	115	12692	infrastructure and support services to agriculture.	98
7	12M33a1	60	6610	Better livelihoods. Changing	51
8	12M33a2	138	15197	life syles	117

\* From column no. 2, total no. of villages; from column no. 3, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 4, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 6, total expected reduction on no. of persons migrating, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

#### VII. (ii). Water related outcomes:

#### Table-PPR 16: Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas (in meters)

1	2	3	4	5	6
No	Names of the watersheds	Sources	Pre-Project level	Expected post- project level	Remarks
		Open wells	3	2	
1	12M20a1	Bore wells	30	28	
		Others - Ponds	2	1	
		Open wells	2.9	2	
2	12M20a2	Bore wells	30	28	This is seen as will
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	This increase will
		Open wells	2.9	2	<ul> <li>substantially improve the</li> <li>drinking water availability</li> </ul>
3	12M20a3	Bore wells	30	28	reduce the drudgery for
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	fetching water . But
	12M20a4	Open wells	8	7	substantial steps to
4		Bore wells	35	33	improve water and
		Others - Ponds	7	6	irrigation efficiency
		Open wells	8	7	through the application of
5	12M21a	Bore wells	35	33	modern and traditional
		Others - Ponds	7	6	technologies is essential.
		Open wells	2.9	2	There must be
6	12M32a	Bore wells	30	28	simultaneous initiatives to
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	<ul> <li>reduce the contamination</li> <li>of surface water and</li> </ul>
		Open wells	4	3	ground water.
7	12M33a1	Bore wells	30	28	giound water.
		Others - Ponds	3	2	
		Open wells	2.9	2	
8	12M33a2	Bore wells	30	28	
		Others - Ponds	1.5	1	

#### Table-PPR 17: Status of Drinking water\*

1	2		3		4	5	
S.	Codes of the	Availability of drinking water (no. of months in a year)		Quality of c	Quality of drinking water		
No.	watersheds	Pre-project	Expected Post- project	Pre-project	Expected Post-project		
1	12M20a1	8	9		Reduced concentration of total dissolved salts, less incidence of turbulence, better bacteriological quality etc. are the major		
2	12M20a2	9	10	<ul> <li>Turbulence, hardness,</li> <li>high iron are the</li> <li>major issues</li> <li>observed.</li> </ul>			
3	12M20a3	8	9			The issues listed are culled from varies studies	
4	12M20a4	9	10			conducted in the area by other	
5	12M21a	8	9			agencies. There is also a	
6	12M32a	9	10		expected post project	variation in quality issues during different seasons.	
7	12M33a1	9	10	1	benefits		
8	12M33a2	8	9				

\* from column no. 2, total no. of villages implementing the programme, from column no. 3, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire project.

VII. (iii). Crop related outcomes:

Table-PPR 18- Major crops grown and their productivity in the project area

1	2	3	}	4			
S.	Name of the Crop	Current	status	Expected post project status			
No.		Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)	Area (ha)	Productivity (kg/ ha)		
1	Paddy	40	2464	50	2600		
2	Coconut	1223	5209 nos. / ha	1500	5300 nos. / ha		
3	Rubber	890	1514	950	1700		

\* From column no. 2, total no. of crops; from columns no. 3 & 4, total cropped area, average productivity, for the project may be given at the end of the Table.

V	III. MANDATORY CERTIFICATION
"	It is certified that the State Government of Kerala will abide by the following mandatory conditions laid down by DoLR"
1	The area of the proposed projects are not covered under assured irrigation
2	The area of the proposed project is not covered or overlapping with any other watershed projects sanctioned by the central govt./ state govt./ autonomous
	bodies & others
3	The State must sign all the mandatory MoUs before implementing the project
4	The timeframes and milestones of the projects will be followed
5	The Budget requested for must follow the criteria laid down in the Common Guidelines, 2008
6	The State must release matching State Share within 15 days from release of each installment of central funds
7	Purchase of vehicles and other equipments are not permitted and nor is construction of buildings allowed. Only purchase of computers and related software is permitted
8	Savings, if any, in each component of the project cost can be utilized only for activities in the Watershed works
9	The DWDU will have one Member exclusively responsible for monitoring
10	All works will be evaluated after each phase of completion. Fund release will depend on favourable reports received from evaluators
11	Evaluators must include only institutions and agencies and not individuals
12	The State and DRDA cell will furnish monitoring reports and periodical reports as desired by DoLR
13	Composition of the WDT must be clearly spelt out and the team Members must be fully in place at the time of signing of the MoU of contract between the PIA and DRDA Cell
14	That DRDA shall release the funds to the PIAs and the watershed committees within 15 days of receipt of the funds
15	The Watershed Committee must be a registered society under the Societies Registration Act, 1860
16	At least one of the WDT Members must be a woman
17	The Gram Sabhas of the proposed project areas have passed resolutions for people's contribution towards WDF
18	Resource-use agreements on the principles of equity and sustainability must be worked out among the User Groups prior to the concerned work being undertaken
19	The DPR must give detailed justification for the proposed project duration
20	No works on private lands will be repaired/ maintained from the WDF
21	The PIA will start project work within three months of the receipt of first installment by DWDU/agency or else it can come under the purview of foreclosure
22	The State will not undertake unnecessary foreclosure of the projects. In the event of foreclosure, the State will refund the amount and furnish all necessary documents as desired by DoLR. The State shall also take administrative and legal action against any defalcation, misappropriation, mis-utilization, deliberate negligence and laxity which has caused foreclosure of the project.
ite:	Signature of officer authorized by State G NAME OF OFFICER (IN CAPITAL LETT DESIGNA
*Let	ter of Authority from Secretary of the concerned Department, authorizing the concerned officer to sign the above undertaking, should be enclosed with PPR.

	2	3	4	5	6	7		8		9	10		1
SI.	District	Droiest	Instal	Financial year of	Amount released	Amoun t utilized	Submission of UC		Date of submission of UC		Reasons for not submittin	Pending UCs	
No.	DISTINCT	Project	ment no.	release of fund	(Rs. in Iakh)	(Rs.in lakhs)	Due date	Amount (Rs. in Iakhs)	Date	Amount (Rs. in Iakhs)	g/ delayed submissio n of UC	Period	Amount (Rs. in lakhs)
1	Kottayam	KTM 1											
2		KTM 2									_		
3		KTM 3									-		
							•	•			nount release		
am the	ount utilized pending U(	d, from co s, may be	lumn No mentior	o. 8, total am ned at the er		om columr le for the e	n no. 9, te ntire Stat	otal amount te.			nount release ed, from colu		
am the	ount utilized pending U(	d, from co Cs, may be <b>Details of</b>	lumn No mentior <b>Unspen</b> t	o. 8, total am ned at the er	nount due, fr nd of the tab	om columr le for the e	n no. 9, te ntire Stat	otal amount					
am the	ount utilized pending UC e- PPR 20: 1 2	d, from co cs, may be <b>Details of</b>	lumn No mentior Unspent	b. 8, total am ned at the er t <b>balance as</b> 3 of the	nount due, fr nd of the tab	om columr le for the e <b>14: District</b>	n no. 9, to ntire Stat wise*	otal amount te. 5 Fotal funds r (Rs. in la	for which eleased kh)	UCs submitt	ed, from colu 6 Unspent balar	mn No. 11,	
am the <b>Tabl</b> 1 S. No	ount utilized pending UC e- PPR 20: 1 2 . Dist	d, from co cs, may be <b>Details of</b> rict	lumn Nc mentior Unspent	b. 8, total am ned at the er t <b>balance as</b> 3 of the ject	nount due, fr nd of the tab on 31.03.20 4 Total cost (R	om columr le for the e <b>14: District</b> s. in lakh)	n no. 9, to ntire Stat wise*	otal amount te. 5 Fotal funds r (Rs. in la DOLR	for which eleased kh) State	UCs submitt	ed, from colu 6 Unspent balar (Rs. in lakhs	mn No. 11,	
am the <b>Tabl</b> 1 S. No	ount utilized pending UC e- PPR 20: 1 2	d, from co cs, may be <b>Details of</b> rict	lumn Nc mentior Unspent Same Pro KTI	o. 8, total am ned at the en t <b>balance as</b> 3 of the ject VI 1	nount due, fr nd of the tab on 31.03.20 4 Total cost (R 300	om columr le for the e <b>14: District</b> s. in lakh)	n no. 9, to ntire Stat wise*	otal amount te. Fotal funds r (Rs. in la DOLR 19.47	for which eleased kh) State 11.25	UCs submitt	ed, from colu 6 Unspent balar (Rs. in lakhs 4.31	mn No. 11,	
am the <b>Tabl</b> 1 S. No	ount utilized pending UC e- PPR 20: 1 2 . Dist	d, from co cs, may be <b>Details of</b> rict	lumn Nc mentior Unspent Rame Pro KTI KTI	b. 8, total am ned at the er t <b>balance as</b> 3 of the ject	nount due, fr nd of the tab on 31.03.20 4 Total cost (R	om columr le for the e <b>14: District</b> s. in lakh)	n no. 9, to ntire Stat wise*	otal amount te. 5 Fotal funds r (Rs. in la DOLR	for which eleased kh) State	UCs submitt	ed, from colu 6 Unspent balar (Rs. in lakhs	mn No. 11,	

2	No. c	of Watersheds projects proposed to be taken up under IWMP	Hilly/Desert	Others
3	Total	area to be covered under proposed projects (000' ha)		
	(a)	Hilly & Desert areas <sup>#</sup>	3680.	00
	(b)	Others		
	(c)	Total	3680.	00
4	Total	cost of the proposed Watershed projects (Rs. in lakhs)		
	(a)	Hilly & Desert areas <sup>#</sup>	552.0	00
	(b)	Others		
	(C)	Total	552.0	00
5	First	installment required from central funds for the proposed watershed projects	110.4	10
<sup>#</sup> For details	refer App	endix-l		

Date of meeting of PPR sanctioning Committee
Decision taken by the Committee
Date of receipt of Annual Action Plan
Brief details of Annual Action Plan
Final approval of projects/area/costs/project period
Amount released as first installment and date of release
File No.

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

